

**RFQ_RE_MRC20120409_La Maison de Radio-Canada (MRC)
Development Project, Montréal, Québec**

Questions & Answers (QA) / Series of questions #1

Nos	Date	Questions	Answers
1	12.04.16	In order to register/attend the Candidates Meeting (site Visit) and the CCM, candidates must supply the Respondents' Registration Form (Appendix A-1) and the Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement (Appendix A-2). As it pertains to the Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement, does Corporate structure and its entities be formed and registered as such at this point?	The corporate structure of the Respondent and its entities do not need to be completed at this stage of the process. However, all parties (in compliance with the definitions underlined in the Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement) that are part of your team, for this RFQ, must be disclosed and each entity must sign the Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement. Once the entities have been created, all parties must promptly sign and return an identical Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement.
2	12.04.20	Please advise if the documents, as listed below, are part of the maximum 80 pages in which the Request for Qualification must be inserted: *All documents from Appendice B, Package 1, Transmittal Letter and Declarations, such as Transmittal Letter, Appendices C and D, Insurance brokers Letter and Bonding Company's Letter. * Professional Biographies, detailed or not. * Project information sheets (Appendice E) * Financial documents such as Financial Statements and Annual Reports.	The total maximum of 80 pages (40 pages duplex) does not include : *All documents from Package 1, Transmittal Letter and Declarations, such as Transmittal Letter, Package 3, Financial capacity and experience, Appendices C and D, Insurance brokers Letter, bonding Company's Letter. * Professional Biographies, detailed or not. * Project information sheets (Appendice E) * Financial documents such as Financial Statements and Annual Reports.
3	12.04.23	Please confirm, when a Primary Candidate associates itself with other companies, is the Primary Candidate required to register itself as a new entity or communicate the names of the newly affiliated companies which are part of its team.	At the stage of the Request for Qualification, CBC / Radio-Canada does not require that the Respondent creates a new entity or not. If the Respondent chooses not to create a new entity, it must identify all entities members of its team. Please note that CBC / Radio-Canada reserves its right to formulate specific criteria regarding the Respondent corporate structure at the stage of the RFP.
4	12.04.23	Confirm that the Financial Statements required are for the key members (construction company, but not design firm)	The financial statements are required for all Prime Members with the exception of the design members. An addendum will be issued.
5	12.04.23	Is it possible to review the Regie du batiment requirements considering that the Entity has not yet been formed?	It is not essential that the entity that will act as the Respondent is created at this stage and therefore it is not necessary that it has the license of the Régie du bâtiment at the stage of the Request for Qualification.
6	12.04.23	Is it possible to review the Performance Bond requirements considering the Entity has not yet been formed ?	Although we understand that the entity that will act as the Respondent may not be incorporated, we required that the construction Prime Members provide the documentation requested in Appendix B, Section 3, Sub-section 1.1 (b) for the CBC/Radio-Canada Accommodation Requirements portion of the project.
7	12.04.24	Can CBC/Radio-Canada provide the number of nights purchased by CBC/Radio-Canada employees in the Montreal area?	The information may be provided during the Request for Proposal.
8	12.04.24	In the RFQ document, (Appendix B, section 3, 1.1b), it is stated that for each Respondant Prime Member involved in the construction aspect of the development project , provide a letter from a duly licensed Canadian bonding company included on the following site...Is there not a contradiction with the last paragraphe which follows: "As an alternate the Prime Member, can provide a letter of confirmation from a financial institution that it has the capacity to obtain a letter of credit for the project", and that which is stated in Appendice B, point 6.2. Please specify the scope of the request?	When more than one entity meets the criteria of a Prime Member (such as defined in the RFQ), each of these firms must provide the required information stated in Appendix B, section 3, 1.1b.
9	12.05.01	We understand that the contents of Package 1, Transmittal Letter and Declarations as well as the documents required in Package 3, Financial capacity and experience in Section 6.1 and 7.1 are excluded from the 80 page limit. Is this correct?	The total maximum of 80 pages (40 pages duplex) does not include : *All documents from Package 1, Transmittal Letter and Declarations, such as Transmittal Letter, Package 3, Financial capacity and experience, Appendices C and D, Insurance brokers Letter, bonding Company's Letter. * Professional Biographies, detailed or not. * Project information sheets (Appendice E) * Financial documents such as Financial Statements and Annual Reports.
10	12.05.02	In the RFQ documentation , Appendix B, section 4. Design and Construction and in regards to the sequence of all other sections in the RFQ, we understand that the contents of questions 4.4 and 4.5 are inverted. We will therefore complete section 4.4 Construction Team * Firm with project examples who will supply professional biographies in section 4.6 Construction Team - Individuals. Please confirm?	The Addendum No 4 was issued on May 7, 2012 to this effet . The title of Appendix B, Section 4.4 is modified from "Construction Team – the Firms" to "Construction Team – Individuals" The title of Appendix B, Section 4.6 is modified "Construction Team – Individuals" to "Construction Team – the Firms"
11	12.05.02	We request that the RFQ Response Deadline of May 23, 2012 be extended by at least 6 weeks.	The Addendum No6 has been issued on May 11, 2012 to this effect.

**RFQ_RE_MRC20120409_La Maison de Radio-Canada (MRC)
Development Project, Montréal, Québec**

Questions & Answers (QA) / Series of questions #1

Nos	Date	Questions	Answers
12	12.05.04	How will you select the qualified candidates as indicated in the RFQ documentation, point 4.5 and Appendix B, article 1.2?	The Addendum No 4 has been issued on May 7, 2012 to this effect. The following sentence is added to Section 4.5 of the Request for Qualifications: "Subject to required approvals as per Section 4.1, up to three (3) Respondents, who are compliant and who have obtained the highest score based on the published Evaluation Criteria, will be invited to participate in the next stage of the Competitive Selection Process, the Request for Proposal ("RFP") stage."
13	12.05.04	Please clarify Section 4.1 of the RFQ documentation, "Evaluation Committee", and indicate who the committee is who will make recommendations to the Administrative Council who in turn will recommend the three (3) candidates retained to move to the RFP phase. State clearly that the whole of the project is subject to the authorization of the Council Governor.	The Addendum No 4 has been issued on May 7, 2012 to this effect. The following sentence was added at the end of Section 4.1 of the Request for Qualifications: "Following the evaluation process, the Evaluation Committee's recommendation will be submitted to the Board of Directors of CBC/Radio-Canada for approval. Results of this RFQ will then be communicated as per Section 4.3. It is to be noted that realization of the Development Project remains conditional to obtaining all government approvals that may be required, including Treasury Board approval."
14	12.05.04	Without being a committed formal response on behalf of CBC/Radio-Canada, is it possible to give us the scope of the indemnity which will be offered to a bidder for the RFP process should the bidder not be retained.	This information will be provided in the Request for Proposal.
15	12.05.07	We are experiencing difficulty in securing some of the professionals to our consortium as a result of the CBC second Request for proposal on MERX under RE_PFT20120419 for Professional services for the Design and construction Output Specification program for the CBC/Radio-Canada building / Montreal. We would like CBC/Radio-Canada to address this issue.	The Addendum No6 has been issued on May 11, 2012 to this effect.
16	12.05.08	In Section 2.3 c) of the Request for Qualifications "Also confirm that the team members are familiar with the legislative and regulator requirements applicable to the project (municipal, provincial and federal)" Can we ask you to further describe what you mean by "familiar"?	The Respondent could satisfy this requirement, amongst other ways by providing examples of similar projects in Montreal and/or identifying the primary obligations that apply to the project, explaining the means to address them linking it to each of the teams.
17	12.05.08	In Appendix D, "Relationship Disclosure Form," it is indicated that the form must be completed by the Prime Members, Equity Members and Key Individuals. Can you confirm whether by "Key Individuals" you are referring to all persons listed under "Key Individuals" in Section 6 "Definitions", page 30 of the RFQ?	Yes
18	12.05.10	We would like to know whether legal counsellors must be identified in the Request for Qualification.	No, the Respondent does not need to disclose the name of their legal advisors at the RFQ phase.
19	12.05.10	We are experiencing difficulty in securing some of the professionals to our consortium as a result of the CBC second call for proposal on MERX under RE_PFT20120419 for Professional services for the Design and construction Output Specification program for the CBC/Radio-Canada building / Montreal. As such we would need more time and would like to ask for another two weeks to the deadline of May 23 rd , 2012.	The Addendum No6 has been issued on May 11, 2012 to this effect.
20	12.05.10	We would appreciate if the RFQ Response Deadline expected on May 23 rd , 2012, be extended for six (6) additional weeks.	The Addendum No6 has been issued on May 11, 2012 to this effect.
21	12.05.10	The French and English versions are not consistent and the reference to the Appendix B, Section 2.1, sub-section (5) and sub-section (6) are unclear.	A revised Addendum No5 will be issued.
22	12.05.15	Is it possible to verify the consistency of the content of English and French versions of Addendum No5 and clarify the section numbers that are identified for the four first changes..	A revised Addendum No5 will be issued.
23	12.05.17	We would like to know if CBC/Radio-Canada contemplates qualifying at most three (3) Respondents? We believe that if there are 4 or 5 different Respondents who meet the qualifications required in the RFQ are qualified, CBC/Radio-Canada will unable greater competition and will therefore benefit from a better value. As a result, please confirm if CBC/Radio-Canada will continue to limit to a maximum of three (3) Respondents or is open to increasing this number?	No, we are not contemplating a change to the number of Proponents.