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## National Scholarship Programme: Provisional allocations for 2012-13

1. The Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS) sets the overall policy and funding level for the new National Scholarship Programme (NSP). HEFCE administers the fund on its behalf.
2. This letter provides the provisional allocations for the first year of the NSP, 2012-13. We are announcing the provisional allocations now because we are aware that institutions need to start planning their matched funding. However, this letter does not contain full guidance about the running of the programme in 2012-13.
3. Detailed guidance regarding the operation of the programme in 2012-13 and the action required of institutions will follow in April 2011, after it has been approved by BIS. We are thus publishing the provisional allocations earlier than the guidance to give institutions as much notice as possible about the scale of their matched funding requirement.
4. The provisional allocations are at Annex A and the technical description to accompany the allocations is at Annexes B and C , all available alongside this letter at http://www.hefce.ac.uk/pubs/circlets/2011/cl13 11/.
5. Final allocations will be published in July 2011.
6. This letter is for information. No action is required in response.

## Background

7. The National Scholarship Programme was first announced as a 'student premium' by the Deputy Prime Minister on 15 October 2010. It was the final strand in the three-strand Fairness Premium which was to target funding at disadvantaged children and young people (the other two strands were an entitlement for all two-year-olds to 15 hours per week of pre-school education, and the Pupil Premium).
8. The primary purpose of the National Scholarship Programme is to help students from disadvantaged backgrounds with the cost of their higher education study. The Secretary of State, Vince Cable, commented that the programme is 'designed to complement rather than replace what universities are already doing. The programme will remain flexible in its initial years to enable us to make adjustments in the light of experience on campus.' Therefore institutions should not assume that the programme will operate in the same way in future years.
9. The broad outline of the programme was set out by BIS and posted on the HEFCE website on 10 February 2011 (see http://www.hefce.ac.uk/news/hefce/2011/scholar.htm).

## Funding delivered through the NSP

10. The purpose of the National Scholarship Programme is to provide a direct benefit to individual, eligible students ${ }^{1}$. It will be available to students entering higher education from autumn 2012. Funding delivered through the programme cannot be used to fund outreach activities.
11. The Government's contribution to the programme in financial year 2012-13 will be $£ 50$ million, rising to $£ 100$ million in 2013-14 and £150 million in 2014-15. Each eligible full-time student will receive a benefit of not less than $£ 3,000$, with a pro-rata amount delivered to parttime students studying a minimum of 25 per cent intensity of the full-time equivalent (FTE).
12. It is intended that the $£ 3,000$ award funded from the Government's contribution will be delivered in the first year of study. This will be matched by institutions. The guidance referred to in paragraph 3 will provide further detail about how institutions will be expected to match the Government's contribution.
13. The assumed matched contributions to the NSP from institutions intending to charge fees of more than $£ 6,000$ per year is at least 100 per cent. Such institutions should include information regarding their matched contribution to the NSP in their access agreements.
14. Institutions intending to charge $£ 6,000$ or below per year for their fees would provide at least 50 per cent match contribution to the NSP. Such institutions will be asked to submit an

[^0]addendum to their widening participation strategic assessments (WPSAs), setting out their plans in respect of the NSP.
15. The Government has acknowledged that, during the initial year of the programme, the matched contribution requirements may mean some institutions cannot continue to invest the necessary resources into important outreach activity. In such circumstances, institutions submitting an access agreement to the Office for Fair Access (OFFA) may make a case for a reduced level of match, which OFFA will consider. Similarly, institutions charging at or below $£ 6,000$ per annum for their fees may make a case to HEFCE through the WPSA addendum.
16. Institutions charging fees at or below $£ 6,000$ per year may opt out of the programme. Any funds currently allocated to institutions that subsequently opt out would be returned to the total and redistributed to all other institutions participating in the programme.
17. In addition, there are a small number of institutions whose allocations may be overstated because, when calculating their share, we are counting a significant number of students who transferred into their institution and therefore are not entrants to the course (see paragraphs 1920 for further details of the allocation method). We are working with these institutions to establish the extent of the issue. Funds that are found to have been over-allocated will be returned to the total and redistributed to all institutions participating in the programme. Final allocations will then be published in July 2011 as stated in paragraph 4.
18. Further details on the match-funding requirements and all other elements of the programme will be provided in the guidance to follow in April.

## Funding method

19. As advised by the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, funds for the NSP have been broadly allocated according to the FTE numbers of HEFCE-fundable, home and EU entrants at each institution. Consequently, the amount each institution receives through the NSP is in direct proportion to the numbers of such students enrolled on its programmes.
20. However, a small proportion of institutions have very few HEFCE-fundable FTE numbers, resulting in an allocation that would not deliver one full $£ 3,000$ scholarship. In such cases, we have rounded up the allocation to ensure that at least one full award can be offered.
21. The technical description at Annex B provides more detail on the precise method for calculating the allocation. We will also separately e-mail the Higher Education Students Early Statistics survey or Higher Education in Further Education: Students survey contact for each institution with access details for the Higher Education Statistics Agency and Individualised Learner Record data that were used to inform the allocations.
22. Further information regarding when in 2012 institutions will receive their NSP allocation will be provided in the detailed guidance to be published in April 2011.
23. If you require any further information please contact Sarah Howls (tel 0117931 7073, e-mail s.howls@hefce.ac.uk).

Yours sincerely

Alan Langlands
Chief Executive

National Scholarship Programme: Provisional allocations for 2012-13
(HEFCE Circular letter 13/2011)
Annex A

| Institution | Provisional allocation (£) | Number of full $(£ 3,000)$ scholarships |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anglia Ruskin University | 531,000 | 177 |
| Aston University | 234,000 | 78 |
| University of Bath | 324,000 | 108 |
| Bath Spa University | 258,000 | 86 |
| University of Bedfordshire | 471,000 | 157 |
| Birkbeck College | 240,000 | 80 |
| University of Birmingham | 672,000 | 224 |
| Birmingham City University | 561,000 | 187 |
| University College Birmingham | 147,000 | 49 |
| Bishop Grosseteste University College, Lincoln | 51,000 | 17 |
| University of Bolton | 270,000 | 90 |
| The Arts University College at Bournemouth | 108,000 | 36 |
| Bournemouth University | 549,000 | 183 |
| University of Bradford | 342,000 | 114 |
| University of Brighton | 555,000 | 185 |
| University of Bristol | 420,000 | 140 |
| Brunel University | 381,000 | 127 |
| Buckinghamshire New University | 303,000 | 101 |
| University of Cambridge | 408,000 | 136 |
| Institute of Cancer Research | 0 | 0 |
| Canterbury Christ Church University | 357,000 | 119 |
| University of Central Lancashire | 861,000 | 287 |
| Central School of Speech and Drama | 21,000 | 7 |
| University of Chester | 315,000 | 105 |
| University of Chichester | 144,000 | 48 |
| City University, London | 216,000 | 72 |
| Courtauld Institute of Art | 6,000 | 2 |
| Coventry University | 588,000 | 196 |
| Cranfield University | 0 | 0 |
| University for the Creative Arts | 279,000 | 93 |
| University of Cumbria | 189,000 | 63 |
| Conservatoire for Dance and Drama | 45,000 | 15 |
| De Montfort University | 720,000 | 240 |
| University of Derby | 450,000 | 150 |
| University of Durham | 429,000 | 143 |
| University of East Anglia | 387,000 | 129 |
| University of East London | 558,000 | 186 |
| Edge Hill University | 342,000 | 114 |
| Institute of Education | 9,000 | 3 |
| University of Essex | 390,000 | 130 |
| University of Exeter | 453,000 | 151 |
| University College Falmouth | 141,000 | 47 |
| University of Gloucestershire | 273,000 | 91 |
| Goldsmiths College, University of London | 195,000 | 65 |
| University of Greenwich | 729,000 | 243 |
| Guildhall School of Music \& Drama | 21,000 | 7 |
| Harper Adams University College | 84,000 | 28 |
| University of Hertfordshire | 744,000 | 248 |
| Heythrop College | 24,000 | 8 |
| University of Huddersfield | 660,000 | 220 |
| University of Hull | 531,000 | 177 |
| Imperial College London | 216,000 | 72 |
| Keele University | 249,000 | 83 |
| University of Kent | 624,000 | 208 |


| Institution | Provisional allocation | Number of full $(£ 3,000)$ scholarships |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| King's College London | 348,000 | 116 |
| Kingston University | 792,000 | 264 |
| Lancaster University | 336,000 | 112 |
| University of Leeds | 816,000 | 272 |
| Leeds Metropolitan University | 777,000 | 259 |
| Leeds College of Music | 27,000 | 9 |
| Leeds Trinity University College | 93,000 | 31 |
| University of Leicester | 333,000 | 111 |
| University of Lincoln | 456,000 | 152 |
| University of Liverpool | 477,000 | 159 |
| Liverpool Hope University | 195,000 | 65 |
| Liverpool John Moores University | 660,000 | 220 |
| Liverpool Institute for Performing Arts | 24,000 | 8 |
| University of the Arts London | 462,000 | 154 |
| University of London | 0 | 0 |
| London Business School | 0 | 0 |
| London School of Economics and Political Science | 111,000 | 37 |
| London School of Hygiene \& Tropical Medicine | 0 | 0 |
| London Metropolitan University | 561,000 | 187 |
| London South Bank University | 450,000 | 150 |
| Loughborough University | 399,000 | 133 |
| University of Manchester | 861,000 | 287 |
| Manchester Metropolitan University | 1,032,000 | 344 |
| Middlesex University | 627,000 | 209 |
| University of Newcastle upon Tyne | 510,000 | 170 |
| Newman University College | 84,000 | 28 |
| University of Northampton | 399,000 | 133 |
| University of Northumbria at Newcastle | 651,000 | 217 |
| Norwich University College of the Arts | 75,000 | 25 |
| University of Nottingham | 678,000 | 226 |
| Nottingham Trent University | 846,000 | 282 |
| Open University | 2,124,000 | 708 |
| School of Oriental and African Studies | 90,000 | 30 |
| University of Oxford | 396,000 | 132 |
| Oxford Brookes University | 399,000 | 133 |
| School of Pharmacy | 24,000 | 8 |
| University of Plymouth | 900,000 | 300 |
| University College Plymouth St Mark \& St John | 72,000 | 24 |
| University of Portsmouth | 708,000 | 236 |
| Queen Mary, University of London | 399,000 | 133 |
| Ravensbourne | 72,000 | 24 |
| University of Reading | 330,000 | 110 |
| Roehampton University | 222,000 | 74 |
| Rose Bruford College | 30,000 | 10 |
| Royal Academy of Music | 9,000 | 3 |
| Royal Agricultural College | 39,000 | 13 |
| Royal College of Art | 0 | 0 |
| Royal College of Music | 12,000 | 4 |
| Royal Holloway, University of London | 258,000 | 86 |
| Royal Northern College of Music | 18,000 | 6 |
| Royal Veterinary College | 45,000 | 15 |
| St George's Hospital Medical School | 57,000 | 19 |
| St Mary's University College | 129,000 | 43 |
| University of Salford | 582,000 | 194 |
| University of Sheffield | 597,000 | 199 |
| Sheffield Hallam University | 831,000 | 277 |
| University of Southampton | 468,000 | 156 |
| Southampton Solent University | 486,000 | 162 |
| Staffordshire University | 630,000 | 210 |


| Institution | Provisiona allocation | Number of full $(£ 3,000)$ scholarships |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Universities of East Anglia and Essex: Joint Provision at University Campus Suffolk | 189,000 | 63 |
| University of Sunderland | 471,000 | 157 |
| University of Surrey | 273,000 | 91 |
| University of Sussex | 345,000 | 115 |
| Teesside University | 546,000 | 182 |
| Trinity Laban Conservatoire of Music and Dance | 24,000 | 8 |
| University College London | 345,000 | 115 |
| University of Warwick | 435,000 | 145 |
| University of the West of England, Bristol | 771,000 | 257 |
| The University of West London | 357,000 | 119 |
| University of Westminster | 666,000 | 222 |
| University of Winchester | 183,000 | 61 |
| University of Wolverhampton | 630,000 | 210 |
| University of Worcester | 297,000 | 99 |
| Writtle College | 45,000 | 15 |
| University of York | 384,000 | 128 |
| York St John University | 144,000 | 48 |
| Accrington and Rossendale College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Askham Bryan College | 21,000 | 7 |
| Barking and Dagenham College | 15,000 | 5 |
| Basingstoke College of Technology | 9,000 | 3 |
| Bedford College | 18,000 | 6 |
| Bexley College | 0 | 0 |
| Birmingham Metropolitan College | 0 | 0 |
| Bishop Burton College | 48,000 | 16 |
| Blackburn College | 135,000 | 45 |
| Blackpool and the Fylde College | 120,000 | 40 |
| Bolton College | 0 | 0 |
| Bradford College | 132,000 | 44 |
| Bridgwater College | 12,000 | 4 |
| Brooklands College | 6,000 | 2 |
| Calderdale College | 15,000 | 5 |
| Carlisle College | 6,000 | - 2 |
| Castle College Nottingham | 12,000 | 4 |
| Central Sussex College | 6,000 | 2 |
| Chesterfield College | 27,000 | 9 |
| Chichester College | 9,000 | 3 |
| City of Bath College | 0 | 0 |
| City College, Birmingham | 3,000 | - 1 |
| City College Coventry | 12,000 | 4 |
| City College Plymouth | 3,000 | - 1 |
| City of Sunderland College | 12,000 | 4 |
| City of Westminster College | 12,000 | 4 |
| Cleveland College of Art and Design | 3,000 | $\underline{1}$ |
| Craven College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Croydon College | 45,000 | 15 |
| Dearne Valley College | 12,000 | 4 |
| Derby College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Doncaster College | 54,000 | 18 |
| Dudley College of Technology | 3,000 | 1 |
| Ealing, Hammersmith and West London College | 12,000 | 4 |
| East Riding College | 9,000 | 3 |
| Eastleigh College | 3,000 | - 1 |
| Exeter College | 15,000 | 5 |
| Fareham College | 0 | 0 |
| Farnborough College of Technology | 48,000 | 16 |
| Filton College | 0 | 0 |
| Gateshead College | 21,000 | 7 |
| Gloucestershire College | 18,000 | 6 |


| Institution | Provisional allocation (£) | Number of full $(£ 3,000)$ scholarships |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grimsby Institute of Further and Higher Education | 84,000 | 28 |
| Guildford College of Further and Higher Education | 39,000 | 13 |
| Halesowen College | 0 | 0 |
| Havering College of Further and Higher Education | 45,000 | 15 |
| Henley College Coventry | 12,000 | 4 |
| Hereford College of Arts | 21,000 | 7 |
| Herefordshire College of Technology | 3,000 | 1 |
| Highbury College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Hopwood Hall College | 12,000 | 4 |
| Hull College | 84,000 | 28 |
| Joseph Priestley College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Kensington and Chelsea College | 0 | 0 |
| Kingston College | 0 | 0 |
| Kirklees College | 0 | 0 |
| Lakes College - West Cumbria | 12,000 | 4 |
| Leeds City College | 42,000 | 14 |
| Leeds College of Art | 60,000 | 20 |
| Leicester College | 24,000 | 8 |
| Lewisham College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Lincoln College | 15,000 | 5 |
| Liverpool Community College | 30,000 | 10 |
| Loughborough College | 48,000 | 16 |
| Macclesfield College | 3,000 | 1 |
| The Manchester College | 108,000 | 36 |
| Moulton College | 15,000 | 5 |
| New College Durham | 63,000 | 21 |
| New College Nottingham | 45,000 | 15 |
| New College Stamford | 0 | 0 |
| New College Telford | 3,000 | 1 |
| Newbury College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Newcastle College | 174,000 | 58 |
| North East Surrey College of Technology | 12,000 | 4 |
| North East Worcestershire College | 21,000 | 7 |
| North Lindsey College | 42,000 | 14 |
| North Nottinghamshire College | 3,000 | 1 |
| North Warwickshire and Hinckley College | 3,000 | 1 |
| North West Kent College of Technology | 3,000 | 1 |
| The College of North West London | 15,000 | 5 |
| Northbrook College, Sussex | 63,000 | 21 |
| Northumberland College | 0 | 0 |
| Oxford and Cherwell Valley College | 6,000 | 2 |
| Plymouth College of Art | 42,000 | 14 |
| Richmond-upon-Thames College | 0 | 0 |
| Riverside College, Halton | 9,000 | 3 |
| Rotherham College of Arts and Technology | 9,000 | 3 |
| Ruskin College | 0 | 0 |
| Salford City College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Sandwell College | 0 | 0 |
| The Sheffield College | 33,000 | 11 |
| Solihull College | 21,000 | 7 |
| Somerset College of Arts and Technology | 60,000 | 20 |
| The South Downs College | 6,000 | 2 |
| South Nottingham College | 6,000 | 2 |
| South Thames College | 9,000 | 3 |
| South Tyneside College | 27,000 | 9 |
| South and West Kent College | 0 | 0 |
| Southampton City College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Sparsholt College Hampshire | 30,000 | 10 |
| St Helens College | 48,000 | 16 |


|  | Number of full <br> (£ <br> Institution | Provisional <br> allocation (£) scholarships |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Stephenson College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Stockport College | 57,000 | 19 |
| Stourbridge College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Stratford-upon-Avon College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Stroud College of Further Education | 0 | 0 |
| Swindon College | 9,000 | 3 |
| Tameside College | 3,000 | 1 |
| Telford College of Arts and Technology | 0 | 1 |
| Totton College | 0 | 0 |
| Trafford College | 12,000 | 0 |
| Tyne Metropolitan College | 27,000 | 4 |
| Uxbridge College | 12,000 | 9 |
| Wakefield College | 18,000 | 4 |
| Walsall College | 18,000 | 6 |
| Warwickshire College | 48,000 | 6 |
| West Nottinghamshire College | 33,000 | 16 |
| West Thames College | 12,000 | 11 |
| Westminster Kingsway College | 18,000 | 4 |
| Wigan and Leigh College | 39,000 | 6 |
| Wiltshire College | 12,000 | 13 |
| Wirral Metropolitan College | 3,000 | 4 |
| Worcester College of Technology | 33,000 | 1 |
| York College | 3,000 | 11 |
| Total | $49,899,000$ | 16,633 |

## National Scholarship Programme: Provisional allocations for 2012-13 (HEFCE Circular letter 13/2011)

## Annex B: HESA-derived statistics that inform the 2012-13 National Scholarship Programme allocation

## Purpose

1. This annex describes how we have used 2009-10 and 2008-09 HESA student data to inform the National Scholarship Programme (NSP) allocations for 2012-13. Further details of the algorithms we apply to the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) data are provided in Appendix 1 below.

## NSP allocation outputs

2. We provide two files which can be used to establish how we have calculated your 2012-13 NSP allocation from your HESA data. The figures underlying the allocation calculations can be re-built from the individualised file which we provide (NSP09XXXX.ind - where XXXX is the HESA institution identifier). The file contains details of how each student was categorised in the NSP allocation calculations and, where relevant, details of why they did not contribute. We also provide an Excel workbook (NSP09XXXX.xIs) which provides a summary of the 2012-13 NSP allocation calculations. These files can be accessed from the HEFCE extranet at https://extranet.hedata.ac.uk.

## NSP allocation method

3. We use 2009-10 and 2008-09 HESA student data to identify students that meet the following conditions (the brackets contain details of how these students can be identified from the individualised file):

- HEFCE-fundable (NSPTYPE = HOMEF, HOMEIF) or model 2 Lifelong Learning Network students (NSPTYPE $=$ M2LLN) in 2009-10
- undergraduates in 2009-10 (NSPLEVEL09 = UGX, FD)
- new entrants on HESES09 (NSPENTRANT = 1)
- studying at no less than 25 per cent intensity for 2009-10 (NSPFTE09 $\geq 0.25$ )
- in the HESES09 population (HESEXCL09 = 0)
- not in core NSP population for 2008-09 (NSPEXCLO8 $=0$ ).

4. Only students who meet all of the conditions in paragraph 3 are included in the 2012-13 NSP allocation calculations. These students can be identified in the individualised file by selecting NSPEXCL $=0$.
5. We sum the FTE in 2009-10 (NSPFTE09) for these students and multiply by the NSP rate, 0.044 full awards per FTE. This figure is then rounded to the nearest whole number to calculate the number of full NSP awards for the institution in 2012-13. A minimum of one full NSP award per institution applies (where NSPFTE09 > 0). The number of full NSP awards is then multiplied by the value of one full award $(£ 3,000)$ to give the 2012-13 NSP allocation for the institution.

## Appendix 1: Technical details of the algorithms applied to HESA data

## Purpose

1. This appendix describes the methods used to generate the data used to calculate the 2012-13 NSP allocations from the HESA student data.
2. This appendix is aimed at readers with in-depth knowledge of the data. Readers are advised to have a copies of the HESA Student Record Coding Manual for 2009-10 and 2008-09 to hand when using this appendix.

## HESA fields used in the calculation

3. Only certain fields, detailed in Table 1, were used to calculate the 2012-13 NSP allocations.
4. Fields taken from the HESA return or derived as part of the calculations are shown in capitals using the names given in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

Table 1 Fields used in the calculation

| Entity | Field | Description | Column in individualised file* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course | COURSEAIM | General qualification aim of course | See Table 2 |
| Course ${ }^{\dagger}$ | COURSEID | Course identifier | H |
| Entry profile | DOMICILE | Domicile | AL |
| Instance ${ }^{\dagger}$ | CAMPID | Campus identifier | I |
| Instance | COMDATE | Start date of instance | See Table 2 |
| Instance | FEEELIG | Fee eligibility | AM |
| Instance | FUNDCODE | Fundability code | AN |
| Instance | INITIATIVES | Initiatives | AO-AP |
| Instance ${ }^{\dagger}$ | INSTCAMP | Institution's own campus identifier | K |
| Instance | NUMHUS | Student instance identifier | See Table 2 |
| Instance ${ }^{\dagger}$ | OWNINST | Institution's own instance identifier | J |
| Instance | TYPEYR | Type of instance year | V |
| Institution | UKPRN | UK Provider Reference Number | A |


| Entity | Field | Description | Column in <br> individualised file* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Student | HUSID | HESA unique student <br> identifier | B |
| Student $^{\dagger}$ | OWNSTU | Institution's own internal <br> identifier for the student | See Table 2 |

* The individualised data file NSP09XXXX.ind.
${ }^{\dagger}$ These fields are not used in the calculation but are included in the individualised file to allow easy identification of students.


## Linking instances between years

5. We link 2009-10 HESA data to 2008-09 HESA data using the HUSID and UKPRN combination. Prior to linking we reduce each HESA return to one record per student (UKPRN $x$ HUSID). If a student has more than one record in a given HESA return we take the record in the core NSP population for the year (NSPEXCLXX = 0) in preference to those not in the population. If the student had more than one record with NSPEXCLXX $=0$ we take the record with the largest FTE (NSPFTEXX) in preference to those with less FTE. If the student had more than one record with the same FTE, we will take the record with the highest COURSEAIMXX value and then the earliest COMDATEXX value until we identify a single record.
6. The individualised file is restricted to one record for each student and only contains students with a record in the 2009-10 HESA return.

## Description of derived fields

7. Here we give details of the derived fields in the individualised data file.

Table 2 NSP allocation derived fields

| Derived field name | Description | Paragraph | Column in <br> individualised file* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMDATEXX | COMDATE value for the year <br> denoted by XX | 21 | T-U |
| COURSEAIMXX | COURSEAIM value for the year."...... <br> denoted by XX | 20 | L-M |
| HESEXCLXX | Reason for exclusion from the <br> HESES population for the year <br> denoted by XX | 14 | AE-AF |
| INSTANCEKEY | Unique instance identifier | 8 | G |
| NSPENTRANT | Entrant in HESES flag | 22 | S |
| NSPEXCL | Reason for exclusion from the NSP <br> calculations | 27 | N |


| Derived field name | Description | Paragraph | Column in <br> individualised file* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NSPEXCL1- <br> NSPEXCL8 | Field indicating reason(s) for a <br> student's exclusion | $23-26$ | O-R |
| NSPEXCLXX | Reason for exclusion from the core <br> NSP population for the year denoted <br> by XX | $18-19$ | W-X |
| NSPEXCLXX1- <br> NSPEXCLXX4 | Field indicating reason(s) for a <br> student's exclusion for the year <br> denoted by XX | $15-17$ | Y-AD |
| NSPFTEXX | FTE of the year of instance denoted <br> by XX | 13 | AI-AJ |
| NSPLEVELXX | Level of study for the year denoted <br> by XX | 11 | AG-AH |
| NSPTYPE | Fundability status | 12 | AK |
| NUMHUSXX | NUMHUS value for the year denoted <br> by XX | 9 | E-F |
| OWNSTUXX | OWNSTU value for the year denoted <br> by XX | 10 | C-D |

* The individualised data file NSP09XXXX.ind.

INSTANCEKEY (Column G)
8. This is a derived field which uniquely identifies instances on the HESA records.

## NUMHUSXX (Columns E-F)

9. These fields contain the NUMHUS field value in the HESA student record for the year denoted by XX. For example, NUMHUS09 is the NUMHUS field value in the 200910 HESA student record.

OWNSTUXX (Columns C-D)
10. These fields contain the OWNSTU field value in the HESA student record for the year denoted by XX. For example, OWNSTU08 is the OWNSTU field value in the 200809 HESA student record.

## NSPLEVELXX (Columns AG-AH)

11. These fields contain the HESES level derived field (HESLEVEL) value for the year denoted by XX. For example, NSPLEVEL09 contains the 2009-10 HESLEVEL field value. For a full description of the HESLEVEL algorithm refer to the relevant year's technical appendix at www.hefce.ac.uk/learning/datacoll/hesa/.

## NSPTYPE (Column AK)

12. This field allocates students to categories of fundability and residential status in the 2009-10 academic year.

| Value | Description | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOMEF | Home and EC HEFCE-funded | FUNDCODE $=1$ and NSPLEVEL09 $=$ PGR |
| HOMEIF | Home and EC independently funded | FUNDCODE $=4$ and NSPLEVEL09 $=$ PGR |
| M2LLN | Home and EC non-fundable: registered as part of a model 2 LLN initiative | (INITIATIVES1 $=1$ or INITIATIVES2 $=1$ ) and not above |
| HOMENF | Home and EC non-fundable: other | ((FUNDCODE = 1, 4 and NSPLEVEL09 = PGR) or <br> (FUNDCODE $=2,5,7$ and <br> (FEEELIG = 1 or <br> (FEEELIG $=3$ and <br> DOMICILE $=A I, A N, A T, A W, A X, B E, B G, B L$, <br> BM, CH, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FK, <br> FO, FR, GF, GI, GL, GP, GR, GS, <br> HU, IC, IE, IO, IS, IT, KY, LI, LT, LU, <br> LV, MF, MQ, MS, MT, NC, NL, NO, <br> PF, PL, PM, PN, PT, RE, RO, SE, <br> SH, SI, SK, TC, VG, WF, XA, XC, XF, <br> XG, XH, XI, XK, YT)) )) and not above |
| ISOV | Island and overseas | Otherwise |

## NSPFTEXX (Columns AI-AJ)

13. These fields contain the HESES FTE derived field (HESESFTE) value, divided by 100, for the year denoted by XX. For example, NSPFTE08 contains the 2008-09 HESESFTE field value. For a full description of the HESESFTE algorithm refer to the relevant year's technical appendix at www.hefce.ac.uk/learning/datacoll/hesa/.

HESEXCLXX (Columns AE-AF)
14. These fields contain the HESES exclusion reason derived field (HESEXCL) value for the year denoted by XX. For example, HESEXCL09 contains the 2009-10 HESEXCL field value. For a full description of the HESEXCL algorithm refer to the relevant year's technical appendix at www.hefce.ac.uk/learning/datacoll/hesa/.

## NSPEXCLXX1 (Columns Y and AB)

15. Field indicating students excluded from the core NSP population in the academic year denoted by XX as they are excluded from the HESESXX population. For example, NSPEXCL081 indicates students excluded from the core NSP population for 2008-09 as they are excluded from the HESES08 population.

| Value | Description | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Excluded from HESESXX <br> population | HESEXXCXX $\neq 0$ |
| 0 | Otherwise | Otherwise |

## NSPEXCLXX2 (Columns Z and AC)

16. Field indicating students excluded from the core NSP population in the academic year denoted by XX as they are not undergraduates. For example, NSPEXCL092 indicates students excluded from the core NSP population for 2009-10 as they are not undergraduates in 2009-10.

| Value | Description | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Not an undergraduate | NSPLEVELXX $\neq$ UGX, FD |
| 0 | Otherwise | Otherwise |

## NSPEXCLXX4 (Columns AA and AD)

17. Field indicating students excluded from the core NSP population in the academic year denoted by XX as their FTE is less than 0.25. For example, NSPEXCL084 indicates students excluded from the core NSP population for 2008-09 as their NSPFTE in 2008-09 is less than 0.25 .

| Value | Description | Definition |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | FTE less than 0.25 | NSPFTEXX $<0.25$ |  |
| 0 | Otherwise | Otherwise |  |

## NSPEXCLXX (Columns W-X)

18. This field indicates whether the student is included in the core NSP population in the academic year denoted by XX (NSPEXCLXX = 0). For students excluded from the core NSP population in the academic year denoted by XX, NSPEXCLXX contains the sum of all applicable values from the table below. For example, NSPEXCL08 will indicate whether the student will be included in the core NSP population for 2008-09.

| Value | Description | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Excluded from HESESXX population | NSPEXCLXX1 $=1$ |
| 2 | Not an undergraduate | NSPEXCLXX2 $=1$ |
| 4 | FTE less than 0.25 | NSPEXCLXX4 $=1$ |
| 0 | Otherwise | None of the above |

19. NSPEXCLXX is computed as ( $1 \times$ NSPEXCLXX1 $)+(2 \times$ NSPEXCLXX2 $)+(4 \times$ NSPEXCLXX4). The reason(s) which contribute to the exclusion of a student from the NSP population can therefore be calculated. For example, if NSPEXCLXX $=6$, by subtracting figures from the above table and starting at the bottom, we see that the student is not an undergraduate ( $\operatorname{NSPEXCLXX}=2$ ) and the student is studying at an intensity of less than 0.25 (NSPEXCLXX $=4$ ) in the academic year denoted by XX.

COURSEAIMXX (Columns L-M)
20. These fields contain the COURSEAIM field value in the HESA student record for the year denoted by XX. For example, COURSEAIM08 is the COURSEAIM field value in the 2008-09 HESA student record.

COMDATEXX (Columns T-U)
21. These fields contain the COMDATE field value in the HESA student record for the year denoted by XX. For example, COMDATE09 is the COMDATE field value in the 2009-10 HESA student record.

NSPENTRANT (Column S)
22. Field indicating students in their first year of instance in 2009-10.

| Value | Description | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entrant | (TYPEYR $=1$ and COMDATE09 $\geq 1$ August 2009 and COMDATE09 $\leq 31$ July 2010) or (TYPEYR $=2,4,5$ and COMDATE09 $\geq 1$ August 2008 and COMDATE09 $\leq 31$ July 2009) |
| 0 | Not an entrant | Otherwise |

NSPEXCL1 (Column O)
23. Field indicating students excluded from the 2012-13 NSP allocation calculations as they are not an entrant in 2009-10.

| Value | Description | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Not an <br> entrant | NSPENTRANT $=0$ |
| 0 | Entrant | Otherwise |

## NSPEXCL2 (Column P)

24. Field indicating students excluded from the 2012-13 NSP allocation calculations as they are not in the core NSP population for 2009-10.

| Value | Description | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Not in core NSP population for 2009-10 | NSPEXCL09 $\neq 0$ |
| 0 | Otherwise |  |

## NSPEXCL4 (Column Q)

25. Field indicating students excluded from the 2012-13 NSP allocation calculations as they are included in the core NSP population for 2008-09.

| Value | Description | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Included in core NSP population for <br> $2008-09$ | NSPEXCL08 = 0 |
| 0 | Otherwise | Otherwise |

NSPEXCL8 (Column R)
26. Field indicating students excluded from the 2012-13 NSP allocation calculations as they are neither HEFCE-fundable nor model 2 LLN in 2009-10.

| Value | Description | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Not HEFCE-fundable and not model 2 <br> LLN | NSPTYPE $\neq$ HOMEF, HOMEIF, |
|  | M2LLN |  |
| 0 | Otherwise | Otherwise |

## NSPEXCL (Column N)

27. This field indicates whether the student will be included in the 2012-13 NSP allocation calculations (NSPEXCL = 0). For students excluded from the 2012-13 NSP allocation calculations, NSPEXCL contains the sum of all applicable values from the table below.

| Value | Description | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Not an entrant in 2009-10 | NSPEXCL1 $=1$ |
| 2 | Not in core NSP population for 2009-10 | NSPEXCL2 $=1$ |
| 4 | Included in core NSP population for 2008-09 | NSPEXCL4 $=1$ |
| 8 | Neither HEFCE-fundable nor model 2 LLN | NSPEXCL8 $=1$ |
| 0 | Otherwise | None of the above |

28. NSPEXCL is computed as ( $1 \times$ NSPEXCL1) $+(2 \times$ NSPEXCL2 $)+(4 \times$ NSPEXCL4 $)$ $+(8 \times$ NSPEXCL8). The reason(s) which contribute to the exclusion of a student from the NSP population can therefore be calculated. For example, if NSPEXCLXX $=3$, by subtracting figures from the above table and starting at the bottom, we see that the student is not an entrant in 2009-10 (NSPEXCL = 1) and the student is not in the core NSP population for 2009-10 (NSPEXCL = 2).

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The basic national eligibility criterion enabling students to apply for the NSP is a declared household residual income of $£ 25,000$ or less. Institutions will be able to set further eligibility criteria; there will be more discussion of this point in the guidance to be issued in April 2011.

