Image Retrieval - A development Model to the Local Binary Pattern

A.SRINIVASA RAO[*], Y.K.SUNDARA KRISHNA[#]

Research Scholar Department of Computer Science, Krishna University, Machilipatnam
#Professor, Department of Computer Science, Krishna University Machilipatnam

Abstract - In this article, I put forward an extension to the local binary patterns for image retrieval. It focuses on the spatial distribution information in images, and presents spatial-distribution-information-enhanced local pattern for content-based image retrieval. Differing from traditional local patterns, which group those gray-level varying values according to three directions and each group, is then merged into a local spatial distribution pattern to represent the spatial distribution property of the image. The preliminary experimental results on a real dataset demonstrate the effectiveness of this algorithm.

Keywords: Content-based Image Retrieval; Local Patterns, LSDP, AAP, AAR

INTRODUCTION

Image retrieval is an important field in image processing and pattern recognition, which is also an important branch of information retrieval. Generally, there are three categories of retrieval methods: text-based, semantic-based, and content-based methods. The text-based method was proposed in 1970s and is still the most widely used one in Web image retrieval [1], however, the text-based method heavily depends on human perception, as the images need to be first manually annotated using text tags, categories, or other information [2]. The semantic-based approaches focus on reducing the semantic gap between the visual features and human perception. People tend to use high-level features to understand images and measure their similarity, but image low-level features such as colour, texture, and shape often fail to describe the high level semantic concepts [3, 4]. The content-based image retrieval (CBIR) method aims to organize digital Images according to their visual features, such as color, texture, shape, distribution layout, and so on [5]. CBIR needs neither manual image annotation nor semantic labelling, and can rank the retrieved images with respect to their similarity with the query image. Therefore, it has been one of the hottest research topics in recent years.

The key issue in CBIR is to find meaningful features to represent images. One of the most widely-used and important features is the texture of image. Texture feature is able to reflect a lot of properties of image, such as smoothness, coarseness, and regularity. Therefore, many approaches have been proposed to describe texture features, among which the most influential one is the local pattern based methods. A local pattern of image refers to the gray-level differences between the referenced pixel of an image and its surrounding pixels. There have been some different local patterns presented in recent years, such as local binary patterns (LBP) and local ternary patterns (LTP), however, one common problem of those previous local patterns is that, they all ignore the spatial distribution property of texture in images. This will lead to the loss of useful texture information of image retrieval in many cases, and the experimental results in this paper will demonstrate this claim.

In this paper, I mean at incorporating the spatial distribution property of image with the traditional local pattern based CBIR methods, and present a new spatial-distribution-enhanced local pattern for texture features, which is called local spatial distribution pattern (LSDP). Compared with various traditional local patterns, LSDP reorganizes the gray-level varying values among pixels in accordance with three directions (horizontal, vertical, and diagonal) so that it can represent the texture feature of an image in different spatial distribution patterns. The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces the local spatial distribution pattern. In Section 3, experiments and comparison between the algorithm and previous ones are given. And the conclusions as well as the future work are in Section 4.

LOCAL SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION PATTERN

Traditional local patterns like LBP, LTP, and LTrP do not pay attention to the spatial distribution property hidden in images. Therefore, our general idea is to incorporate the spatial distribution property with the traditional local patterns, so that we can describe the spatial distribution structure of the local texture. This idea leads to the design of so-called *local spatial distribution pattern* (LSDP). Given an image I, supposing that p is the referenced pixel in the image I, we use the symbol $r\,G\,V\,P$ to denote the gray-level variation pattern along the horizontal and vertical direction, and $d\,G\,V\,P$ to represent the pattern along the diagonal direction. Then, we determine $r\,G\,V\,P_r$ and $d\,G\,V\,P_d$ with reference to Formula (1) given below.

$$GVP_r(p)= \sum^R 2^{i-1} \times f_{GVP}(p,p_i),\ GVP_d(p)=\sum^p 2^{j-1} \times f_{GVP}(p,p_j),\ f_{GVP}(x,y)=\sum 1\ \text{if}\ X\geq Y,\ 0\ \text{if}\ X\leq Y$$

In Formula (1), R is the number of the neighbours around p along with the horizontal and vertical direction, D is the number of the neighbours around p along with the diagonal direction. Fig.1 shows an example of calculating $r\,G\,V\,P_r$ and $d\,G\,V\,P_d$. In this example, the exemplified image region consists of 3 ☐☐3 pixels, and both R and D are 4.


Fig.1. *An example of calculating GVP_r*

The definition of LDSP is based on $r\,G\,V\,P$ and $d\,G\,V\,P$. First, we use a distance vector l to denote the distance between the referenced pixel p and its neighbour pixel q in an image, and define l as $l = (qx\square px, qy\square py)$. LSDP of p is defined by integrating the horizontal, vertical or diagonal patterns of these pixels together, as defined in Formula(2) given below.

$$LSDP_r(p)=\square\{GVP_r(q),GVP_r(p)\},\ LSDP_d(p)=\square\{GVP_d(q),GVP_d(p)\}\ (2)$$

From Formula (1) and (2), we integrate two 4-bit patterns into 8-bit pattern LSDP value for each referenced pixel. In this paper, we choose the window size of 3 ☐ 3 and the distance l as (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (-1, 1), so we can finally obtain each four LSDP patterns of the referenced pixel and its neighbor pixel individually on horizontal, vertical and diagonal directions. After identifying the local spatial distribution pattern, the whole image is represented by building a histogram using Formula (3), where $H\ x\ W$ represent the size of the input image, x is the LSDP level, range from 0 to 255.

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

In order to evaluate the effectiveness of CLSDP, experiments are implemented on a benchmark databases, named DB1: Corel 10K Database (http://wang.ist.psu.edu/docs/related.shtml). DB1 consists of 100 categories of natural scene images, with 100 images of each category, set up a database with 10,000 images. This database meets all the requirements to evaluate an image retrieval system, due to its large size and heterogeneous content. Each image in this database is considered as the query image. The performance of LSDP and traditional local patterns is measured in terms of *AAP* and *AAR*, as mentioned in Formula (4).

$$AAP(n)= \underset{|DB|}{} Precision(I,n),\ AAR(n)=DB_{i=1}$$

$$Recall(I,n)\qquad (4)DB_{i=1}$$

The retrieval results are shown in Fig.2. It shows that the *AAP* of LSDP increases from 39.90% to 42.79% (top matches = 10), and the *AAR* increases from 16.06% to 17.28% (top matches=100).

(a) *AAP*

(b) *AAR*

Fig. 1. *AAP and AAR of LSDP and local patterns on DB1 (Corel 10K Database)*

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present an extension to the local binary patterns for content-based image retrieval. Our extension is focused on the spatial properties of images, and we introduced directional patterns to better describe the textual features of images. Our preliminary results on a real data set demonstrated its effectiveness.

REFERENCES

[1].Chen, L., Xu, D., Tsang, I. W., & Luo, J., Tag-based web photo retrieval improved by batch mode re-tagging. In Proc. Of CVPR, pp. 3440-3446, 2010

[2]. Li, W., Duan, L., Xu, D., & Tsang, I.-H., Text-based image retrieval using progressive multi-instance learning. In Proc. Of ICCV, pp. 2049-2055, 2011

[3].Yu Xia, Shouhong Wan, Peiquan Jin, Lihua Yue, Multi-Scale Local Spatial Binary Patterns for Content-Based Image Retrieval, the 9th International Conference on Active Media Technology (AMT 2013), LNCS 8210, 2013, pp. 425-434

[4].4. Shouhong Wan, Peiquan Jin, Lihua Yue, An Approach for Image Retrieval Based on Visual Saliency, International Conference on Image Analysis and Signal Processing, 2009 (IASP'09), IEEE CS, Linhai, China, 2009.4, pp.172-175

[5].5. Datta, R., Joshi, D., Li, J., & Wang, J. Z. (2008). Image retrieval: Ideas, influences, and trends of the new age. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 40, 5, 2008.

_____
**IJIRAE: Impact Factor Value – SJIF: Innospace, Morocco (2015): 3.361 | PIF: 2.469 | Jour Info: 4.085 |
Index Copernicus 2014 = 6.57**

© 2014- 16, IJIRAE- All Rights Reserved   Page -39