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#### Abstract

In this paper, the electrical energy demand (load) of the Faculty of Engineering Bayero University Kano (BUK) was estimated based on watt-hour energy demands. A stand alone Photovoltaic (PV) system was designed based on $10 \%$ of the estimated load to supplement power supply to the faculty. Based on the equipment selected for the design, 96 PV modules, 24 batteries, 5 voltage regulators and an inverter will be required to supply $10 \%$ of the electrical energy demand by the faculty. A computer program was developed for the system for ease of design. The cost estimate of the systemN2 788272 is relatively high when compared to that of standby fuel generator used by the faculty. The payback period of the system is estimated to be 3.6 years, which is obviously much shorter than the lifespan of the selected PV module.
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### 1.0 Introduction

Photovoltaic (PV) is the conversion of energy that comes from the sun into electricity through a phenomenon known as the photoelectric effect. Energy from the sun as light is transformed into electricity when it touches a solar cell. Many homes particularly in the developed economies are today powered by photovoltaic systems. In homes that are far from utility grids, photovoltaic systems are frequently the most cost-effective sources of power. Even if utility grid is closed by, some home owners choose PV systems because it gives them more autonomy and provides a way of minimizing the effects of increase in utility rate. PV system is an attractive alternative energy to conventional sources of electricity for many reasons: it is safe, silent, non-polluting, renewable, its fuel is free, and highly modular in that its capacity can be increased incrementally to match with gradual load growth, reliable with minimal failure rates, it contains no moving parts, it requires no special training to operate and it has projected service life times of 20 to 30 years [1].

- Ishaq Musa, Affiliation: Department of Mechanical Engineering, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria. E-mail: ishaqatuma@yahoo.com
- Ibrahim U. Haruna, Rank: Lecturer II, Affiliation: Department of Mechanical Engineering, Federal Polytechnic Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria, E-mail: heldabuk@yahoo.com
- Abubakar Haruna, Qualification: M. Eng (Energy Engineering), Rank: Technologist, Affiliation: Department of Mechanical Engineering, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria
- Aliyu A. Baba, Rank: Lecturer II, Affiliation: Department of Mechanical Engineering, Federal Polytechnic Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria

The Faculty of Engineering Bayero University Kano is plagued with recurrent outages of power from the grid to the extent that every department in the faculty has to provide its power using standby fuel generators of different sizes and capacities. There is need to search for alternative energy sources for the faculty due to fast depletion of fossil fuel and its corresponding pollution of the environment. Therefore, a stand-alone photovoltaic system is being considered as one of the alternatives sources of electricity generation for the faculty. Stand alone PV System is a PV system which uses photovoltaic technology only and is not connected to utility grid. Stand alone PV systems can directly power Direct current (DC) appliances or they can include power- conditioning equipment such as an inverter to convert DC power to alternating current (AC) which is required by some appliances. Batteries can be used to store electricity for used at night or cloudy days (days of autonomy).Stand alone PV system provides affordable electricity in area where conventional electricity grids are unreliable or non-existing. The geographical location of Faculty of Engineering Bayero University Kano makes it one of the relatively sun-reach remote regions on the globe. It is located in the northern part of Nigeria between latitude $12^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and longitude $9^{\circ} \mathrm{E}[2]$.This implies that the solar panels must be mounted facing the south to capture the maximum amount of solar energy. The minimum peak sun hour per day for BUK is 4.5 [2].


Figure 1: Schematic Diagram of a Typical Stand alone PV system

### 2.0 Methodology

The electrical appliances (loads) available at the faculty were first itemized with their power ratings and time of operation during the day to obtain the total energy demand in Watt-hour per day by the faculty. The total energy demand obtained was then used to size the proposed stand alone photovoltaic system.

### 2.1 Load Estimation of the Faculty of Engineering

## BUK

The faculty of engineering BUK comprises four departments and Deans Office; Agricultural Engineering, Civil Engineering, Electrical Engineering and Mechanical Engineering. Table 1.0 shows the summary of the daily energy demand by Department of Agricultural Engineering. All the appliances assessed where AC appliances.

Table 1.0 Estimated Daily Energy demand for Department of Agricultural Engineering

| Appliances | Rated power (W) | Quantity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total rated } \\ & \text { power (KW) } \end{aligned}$ | Hours used per day (h) | KWh/day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lightning bulbs | 8 | 80 | 0.640 | 8 | 5.120 |
|  | 26 | 40 | 1.040 | 8 | 8.320 |
|  | 36 | 24 | 0.864 | 8 | 6.912 |
| Fans | 50 | 5 | 0.250 | 8 | 2.000 |
|  | 65 | 79 | 5.135 | 8 | 41.080 |
| Computers | 75 | 50 | 3.750 | 4 | 15.00 |
| Refrigerators | 150 | 2 | 0.300 | 8 | 2.400 |
| Photocopying machine | 1200 | 1 | 1.200 | 4 | 4.800 |
| Water Dispenser | 500 | 2 | 1.00 | 8 | 8.000 |
| Electric Kettle | 2500 | 1 | 2.500 | 2 | 5.000 |
| Printers | 160 | 2 | 0.320 | 2 | 0.640 |
| Scanners | 55 | 2 | 0.110 | 2 | 0.220 |
| Total |  |  | 17.109 |  | 99.492 |

Similar estimations as in Table 1.0 were also made for other departments in the faculty and Deans' office and then the estimated total energy demand per appliance per department in kW and kWh/day were calculated as shown in Table 2.0 and Table 3.0 respectively.

Table 2.0 Estimated Total Energy demand Per Appliance Per Department in kW

| Appliances | Departments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agricultural | Civil | Electrical | Mechanical | Deans' office | Total |
| Lighting bulbs | 4.272 | 15.260 | 5.059 | 17.559 | 4.623 | 46.773 |
| Fans | 5.385 | 1.170 | 3.990 | 4.180 | 0.960 | 15.685 |
| Computer | 3.750 | 3.500 | 5.880 | 6.800 | 1.050 | 20.980 |
| Refrigerators | 0.300 | 0.395 | 0.300 | 0.280 | 0.150 | 1.425 |
| Photocopying machine | 1.200 | 1.200 | 1.200 | 1.200 | 1.200 | 6.000 |
| Water Dispenser | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 2.500 |
| Electric Kettles | 2.500 | 2.500 | 2.500 | 2.500 | 2.500 | 12.500 |
| Televisions | - | - | 1.000 | - | - | 1.000 |
| Printers | 0.320 | 0.320 | 0.320 | 0.320 | 0.320 | 1.600 |
| Scanners | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.550 |
| Total | 17.109 | 24.955 | 20.859 | 33.449 | 11.413 | 107.785 |

Table 3.0 Estimated Total Energy Demand per Appliance per Department in kWh/day

| Appliances | Departments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agricultural | Civil | Electrical | Mechanical | Deans' office | Total |
| Lighting bulbs | 20.352 | 21.08 | 80.472 | 123.472 | 16.984 | 262.360 |
| Fans | 43.080 | 9.360 | 31.920 | 33.440 | 7.680 | 125.480 |
| Computers | 15.000 | 14.000 | 20.000 | 21.400 | 1.400 | 71.800 |
| Refrigerators | 2.400 | 3.160 | 2.400 | 2.240 | 1.200 | 11.400 |
| Photocopying machine | 4.800 | 4.800 | 4.800 | 4.800 | 4.800 | 24.000 |
| Water Dispenser | 8.000 | 4.000 | 4.000 | 4.000 | 4.000 | 24.000 |
| Electric Kettles | 5.000 | 5.000 | 5.000 | 5.000 | 5.000 | 25.000 |
| Televisions | - | - | 8.00 | - | - | 8.000 |
| Printers | 0.640 | 0.640 | 0.640 | 0.640 | 0.640 | 3.200 |
| Scanners | 0.220 | 0.220 | 0.220 | 0.220 | 0.220 | 1.100 |
| Total | 99.492 | 62.26 | 157.452 | 194.493 | 41.924 | 555.621 |

### 2.2 Selection of System Voltage

The system voltage is selected based on the requirements of the system. As a general rule the system voltage increases with increased daily load. However in a standalone PV system, the voltage is also dependent on the inverters that are available. When loads require ac power, the dc system voltage should be selected after studying available inverter characteristics. Since the total ac-load is greater than 5000 W , the system voltage selected is 48 Vdc [3]

### 2.3 Selection of PV Module

In selecting a PV module for PV system, the main criteria are the performance warranty in case of any problems, module replacement ease; compliance with natural electrical and building codes and manual should be available to see the quality and characteristics of the module. The ENP Sonne High Quality 180 Watt, 24 V monocrystalline module was chosen in this design.

### 2.4 Determination of PV Array size

The PV array output power $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\text {pvarray }}\right)$ can be determined by equation 1. [4]
$P_{\text {pvarray }}=\frac{E_{L}}{n_{\text {b. } 0} \times K_{\text {Loss }} \times \text { titit }_{\text {it }}} \times P S I$
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ Estimated average daily load energy consumption in kWh/day $=556 \mathrm{kWh} /$ day (Table 3.0)
$\mathrm{H}_{\text {tilt }}=$ Average solar radiation in peak sun hour's incident for specified tilt angle.

PSI = Peak solar intensity at the earth surface ( $1 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )
$\eta_{b o}=$ Efficiency of balance of system
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {Loss }}=\mathrm{A}$ factor determined by different losses such as module temperature, losses, dust, etc
$\eta_{b o}=\eta_{\text {inverter }} \times \eta_{\text {wire losses }}$
In this work $\eta_{\text {inverter }}$ and $\eta_{\text {wire losses }}$ are taken as $85 \%$ and $90 \%$ respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{\mathrm{bo}} & =0.95 \times 0.90=0.855 \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\text {Loss }} & =\mathrm{f}_{\text {man }} \times \mathrm{f}_{\text {temp }} \times \mathrm{f}_{\text {dirt }} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Where,
$\mathrm{f}_{\text {man }}=$ Manufacturer's tolerance
$\mathrm{f}_{\text {temp }}=$ Temperature de-rating factor
$f_{\text {dirt }}=$ De-rating due to dirt
$f_{\text {temp }}$, is given by equation 4 [5]
$\mathrm{f}_{\text {temp }}=1-\left[\mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\text {cell.eff }}-\mathrm{T}_{\text {STC }}\right)\right]$
$Y=$ Power temperature co-efficient
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {cell.eff }}=$ Average daily temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {cell.eff }}$ can be determined by equation 5
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {cell.eff }}=$ Ta. day +25
Where,
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {a.day }}=$ day time average ambient temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
The minimum peak sun hour per day $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\text {titt }}\right)$ for BUK is 4.5 [2]

The peak solar intensity (PSI) at the earth surface is $1 \mathrm{KW} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
From equation 4,

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\text {temp }}=1-\left[\mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\text {cell.eff }}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{STC}}\right)\right]
$$

Based on the manufacturer specification for the selected module, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {cell.eff }}=45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{Y}=0.48 \% / 0 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~T}_{\text {STC }}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { and } \mathrm{f}_{\operatorname{man}}=97 \% \\
\mathrm{f}_{\text {temp }}=1-\left[\frac{0.48}{100}(45-25)\right]=0.904
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{f}_{\text {dirt }}$ is taken as $95 \%$ in this work
From equation 3,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{K}_{\text {Loss }}=\mathrm{f}_{\operatorname{man}} \times \mathrm{f}_{\text {temp }} \times \mathrm{f}_{\text {dirt }} \\
\mathrm{K}_{\text {Loss }}=0.97 \times 0.904 \times 0.95=0.833 \\
\mathrm{P}_{\text {pvarray }}=\frac{556 \times 10 \%}{0.855 \times 0.833 \times 4.5} \times 1=17.35 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{gathered}
$$

### 2.5 Number of modules in series

The number of modules in series $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ms}}$ can be determined by equation 6 [6]

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{m s}=\frac{V_{\text {system }}}{V_{\text {module }}}  \tag{6}\\
& N_{m s}=\frac{V_{\text {system }}}{V_{\text {module }}}=\frac{48}{24}=2 \text { modules }
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.6 Number of modules in parallel

The number of modules in parallel $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{mp}}$ is determined by equation 7. [7]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{mp}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {pvaray }}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ms}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\text {module }}}  \tag{7}\\
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{mp}}=\frac{17.35 \times 10^{3}}{2 \times 180}=48 \text { modules }
\end{align*}
$$

Total number of modules $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{mt}}$ is given by equation (8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{mt}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ms}} \times \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{mp}}=2 \times 48=96 \text { modules } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.7 Determination of Battery bank capacity

The storage battery capacity can be calculated using equation 9. [8],
$C_{x}=\frac{N_{c} \times E_{L}}{\operatorname{DOD}_{\text {max }} \times V_{\text {system }} \times n_{\text {out }}}$
Where,
$C_{x}=$ Required battery capacity
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ Number of days of autonomy
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ Estimated load energy in Wh
$D O D_{\text {max }}=$ Maximum depth of discharge
$\eta_{\text {out }}=$ Battery loss

### 2.8 Determination of the required battery bank capacity

Batteries used in all solar systems are sized in ampere hours under standard test condition of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The depth of the discharge is a measure of how much of the total battery capacity has been consumed. In this design the day of autonomy is taking as 4 days and the maximum allowable depth of discharge is taken as $75 \%$

The battery bank capacity required $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ is given by;

$$
C_{x}=\frac{N_{c} \times E_{L}}{D O D_{\text {max }} \times V_{\text {system }} \times \eta_{\text {out }}}
$$

In this design, $\eta_{\text {out }}=0.85$

$$
C_{x}=\frac{4 \times 556 \times 10^{3} \times 10 \%}{0.75 \times 48 \times 0.85}=7268 \mathrm{Ah}
$$

### 2.9 Specification of Battery type to be used

The battery selected is ROLLS SERIES 4000 BATTERIES, 12MD325P. The battery has a capacity of 325 AH and a nominal voltage of 12 V .

From equation (10), number of batteries required ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {breq }}$ ) is;

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{\text {breq }}=\frac{C_{x}}{C_{\text {selected }}}  \tag{10}\\
& N_{\text {breq }}=\frac{7268}{325}=22 \text { batteries }
\end{align*}
$$

Number of batteries in series is given by equation 11

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{\text {bs }}=\frac{V_{\text {system }}}{V_{\text {batery }}}  \tag{11}\\
& N_{\text {bs }}=\frac{48}{12}=4 \text { batteries }
\end{align*}
$$

Number of batteries in parallel is given by equation 12

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{bp}}=\frac{N_{\text {breq }}}{N_{\text {bs }}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
N_{\text {bp }}=\frac{22}{4}=6 \text { batteries }
$$

### 2.10 Determination of Inverter size

In sizing the inverter, the actual power drawn from the appliances that will run at the same time must be determined as first step. Secondly, we must consider the starting current of large motors by multiplying their power by a factor of 3 . Also to allow the system to expand, we multiply the sum of the two previous values by 1.25 as a safety factor $\left(f_{\text {safety }}\right)$ [8]
$P_{\text {total }}=\left(P_{R S}+3 P_{\text {Lsc }}\right) \times 1.25$
Where,
$P_{\text {total }}=$ Inverter power rating (size)
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{RS}}=$ Power of appliances running simultaneously $=107.785 \mathrm{~kW}$ [2]
$P_{\text {Lsc }}=$ Power of large surge current appliances $=0$
The input rating of the inverter should never be lower than the total watt of appliances.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{RS}}+3 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{LsC}}\right) \times 1.25 \\
\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=(107.785 \times 10 \%+3 \times 0) \times 1.25=14 \mathrm{~kW}=14 \mathrm{kVA}
\end{gathered}
$$

The inverter to be used for this system should have capacity not less than 14 kVA and a nominal voltage of 48VDC.

### 2.11 Determination of Voltage Regulator Size

The voltage regulator is typically rated against amperage and voltage capacities. The voltage regulator is selected to match the voltage of PV array and batteries. A good voltage regulator must have enough capacity to handle the current from PV array.

The rated current of the regulator is given by equation 14 [6]

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{\text {rated }}=N_{m p} \times I_{\text {sc }} \times f_{\text {safety }}  \tag{14}\\
& I_{\text {rated }}=48 \times 5.38 \times 1.25=323 \mathrm{~A}
\end{align*}
$$

The voltage regulator selected is Xantex C60 controller $60 \mathrm{~A}, 12 / 24 \mathrm{~V}$. It has nominal voltage of $12 / 24 \mathrm{VDC}$ and charging load/current of 60 amperes. Number of voltage regulator required is given by equation (15)

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{\text {vreg }}=\frac{I_{\text {rated }}}{I_{\text {selected }}}  \tag{15}\\
& N_{\text {vreg }}=\frac{323}{60}=5 \text { voltage regulators }
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.12 Computer Program for the Design of the Stand alone Photovoltaic System

It is believed that by using computer-aided design approach in PV system, all the principles and techniques of the design can be demonstrated in fairly simple fashion. A computer program was developed using FORTRAN software for the system sized. Various
details of this program are presented in the Appendix. Flow chart for the PV array sizing is shown in figure 2.0. Similar flow charts for sizing the battery bank, voltage regulators and the inverter were also drawn and a computer program was developed for easy and accurate sizing of the system.

Start

Enter input data from table of appliances table3.2

Calculate the total kilowatt-hours per day $\mathrm{kWh} /$ day $\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{L}}\right)$ (column summation)

Compute the efficiency of balance of system $\eta_{b o}$ Equ. 1

Calculate temperature de-rating factor $f_{\text {temp }}$ Equ. 2
Calculate factor determined by different losses $K_{\text {Loss }}$ Equ. 3
Calculate the size of the photovoltaic array $P_{\text {pvarray }}$ Equ. 4


Calculate total number of modules $N_{m t}$ Equ. 7


Figure 2.0 Flow Chart for PV Array Sizing

### 2.13 Determination of the System Cables Sizes

Selecting the correct size and type of wire will enhance the performance and reliability of photovoltaic system. The dc-wires between the photovoltaic modules and batteries through the voltage regulator must withstand the maximum current produced by these modules. This current is given by equation 14 .

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{I}_{\text {rated }}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{mp}} \times \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{sc}} \times f_{\text {safety }}  \tag{14}\\
& \mathrm{I}_{\text {rated }}=48 \times 5.38 \times 1.25=323 \mathrm{~A}
\end{align*}
$$

The cross sectional area of the cable is given by equation 15.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{\rho \| l}{V_{d}} \times 2 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\rho=$ resistivity of copper wire which is taken as $1.724 \times$ $10^{-8} \Omega \mathrm{~m}$ (AWG)

In both AC and DC wiring for standalone photovoltaic system the voltage drop is taken not to exceed $4 \%$ value (NABCEP, 2005)

### 2.14 Determination of Cable Size for PV Modules through the Batteries Voltage Regulators

Maximum voltage drop $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{4}{100} \times 24 \mathrm{~V}=0.96 \mathrm{~V}$
Let the length of the cable $(\mathrm{I})=1 \mathrm{~m}$
From equation (15)

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\frac{\rho l l}{V_{d}} \times 2 \\
A=\frac{1.724 \times 10^{-8} \times 1 \times 323}{0.96} \times 2=11.60 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

This means any copper cable of cross sectional area $11.60 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}, 323 \mathrm{~A}$ and resistivity $1.724 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \mathrm{~m}$ can be used for the wiring between PV modules and batteries through the voltage regulator.

### 2.15 Determination of Cables Size between the

 Battery Bank and the InverterLet the length of the cable $(I)=5 \mathrm{~m}$
The maximum current from battery at full load supply is given by $I_{\text {max }}$ which is shown in equation 16 as:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\text {max }} & =\frac{\text { Inverter } \mathrm{kVA}}{n_{\text {invereter }} V_{\text {system }}}  \tag{16}\\
& =\frac{14 \mathrm{kVA}}{0.85 \times 48}=343 \mathrm{~A}
\end{align*}
$$

Maximum voltage drop $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}=} \frac{4}{100} \times 48=1.92 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
A=\frac{1.724 \times 10^{-8} \times 5 \times 343}{1.92} \times 2=30 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
$$

This means any copper cable of cross sectional area of $30 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}, 343 \mathrm{~A}$ and resistivity $1.724 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \mathrm{~m}$ can be used for the wiring between the battery bank and the inverter.

### 2.16 Determination of Cable Size between the Inverter and the Load

Let the maximum length of cable $(I)=20 \mathrm{~m}$
The maximum current from inverter at full load on the phase (line) is given by equation 17 as:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\text {phase }} & =\frac{\text { Inverter } \mathrm{KVA}}{V_{\text {output }} \sqrt{3}}  \tag{17}\\
& =\frac{14 \mathrm{kVA}}{220 \times \sqrt{3}}=37 \mathrm{~A}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { maximum voltage drop } \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}=} \frac{4}{100} \times 220=8.8 \mathrm{~V}
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}=\frac{1.724 \times 10^{-8} \times 20 \times 37}{8.8} \times 2=3 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
$$

This means that any copper of cross sectional area $3 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}, 37 \mathrm{~A}$ and resistivity $1.724 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \mathrm{~m}$ can be used for the wiring between the inverter and the load.

Table 4.0 Results obtained from the Sizing of the Proposed Off-grid PV System.

| Component | Description of Component | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Load Estimation | Total Estimated Load | 556 kWh/day |
| PV Array | Capacity of PV array | 17.35 kW |
|  | Number of modules in series | 2 |
|  | Number of modules in parallels | 48 |
|  | Total number of modules | 96 |
| Battery Bank | Battery bank capacity | 7268 Ah |
|  | Number of batteries in series | 4 |
|  | Number of batteries in parallel | 6 |
|  | Total number of batteries required | 24 |
| Voltage Regulator | Capacity of voltage regulator | 323 A |
|  | Number of voltage regulators required | 5 |
| Inverter | Capacity of the inverter | 14 kVA |
| Wires | Between PV modules and batteries through voltage regulators | 323 A,11.60mm2 |
|  | Between battery bank and inverter | $343 \mathrm{~A}, 30 \mathrm{~mm} 2$ |
|  | Between inverter and load | $37 \mathrm{~A}, 3 \mathrm{~mm} 2$ |

### 2.17 Cost Estimate of the System

The cost estimate of the system's components is summarized in Table 5.0
Table 5.0 Cost Estimate of the System's Components

| Component | Model | Quantity | Unit price (Naira) | Cost per component (Naira) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Modules | ENP Sonne 180W, <br> 24V | 96 | 20000 | 1920000 |
| Batteries | ROLL12MD325P | 22 | 15000 | 330000 |
| Voltage Regulator | Xantrex C60 | 5 | 10000 | 50000 |
| Inverter | SATCON 14kVA | 1 | 12000 | 12000 |
| SUBTOTAL | 2312000 |  |  |  |
| Other BOS Costs (wires, fuses, circuit breakers, etc ) |  |  |  | 462400 |
| TOTAL COST | $\mathbf{2 7 7 4 4 0 0}$ |  |  |  |

Cost per Component $=$ Quantity $\times$ Unit price
Other Balance of System Component (BOS) Cost = $20 \%$ of subtotal [9], [10]

The operating costs for solar PV installations are negligible, but the annual maintenance cost may amount to $0.5 \%$ to $1 \%$ of the capital cost of the system [9]

Maintenance cost of the PV system $=0.5 \%$ Of $2774400=\mathrm{N} 13872$

Overall cost of the system $=\mathrm{N} 2774400+\mathrm{N} 13872=$ N2 788272

### 2.18 Estimated Cost of the Fuel Generator used by the Faculty of Engineering BUK

The college has a 35 kVA Caterpillar generator used to supplement power supply from the grid.

Hours used $=4$ hours per day.
Total estimated hours used per annum $=4 \times 365=$ 1460 hours

Total estimated fuel (diesel) consumption per hour $=3$ litres per hour

Total estimated fuel consumption per annum $=3 \times$ $1460=4380$ litres

Cost of diesel= N 160 per litre
Total estimated cost of fuel used per annum $=\mathrm{N} 160 \times$ $4380=$ N700 800

Total estimated cost of maintenance per annum = N 10000.00

Total running cost per annum $=$ N $700800+$ N10 $000=$ N710 800

Cost of purchase of the fuel generator $=$ N55 000
Total estimated cost of the fuel the generator for the first year $=$ N710 $800+$ N55 $000=$ N765 800

### 2.19 Period

The payback period is given by equation 18 [11] as:
Payback Period $=\frac{\text { Overal cost of the } P \text { PV system }}{\text { Total e estimated cost of the fuel generato for the first year }}$ (18)

$$
\text { Payback Period }=\frac{\mathrm{N} 2788272}{\mathrm{~N} 765800}=3.6 \text { years }
$$

### 3.0 Discussions

The daily electrical energy demand (load) by Faculty of Engineering Bayero University Kano was estimated based on the watt-hour energy demand of the appliances. The estimated load is $556 \mathrm{kWh} /$ day. The proposed stand alone photovoltaic system was designed based on $10 \%$ of the estimated load. Based on the equipment selected for the design, a 17.35 kW PV array capacity of 96 PV modules, 24 (12V, 325Ah) batteries, a $14 \mathrm{kVA}, 48 \mathrm{~V}$ inverter and $5(60 \mathrm{~A}, 24 \mathrm{~V}$ ) voltage regulators are needed to supply $10 \%$ of the estimated electrical energy demand by the faculty (Table 4.0). A computer program was developed for the system for easy sizing of the components of the system (PV array, voltage regulator, battery bank and the inverter).The proposed stand alone PV system requires copper wires of resistivity $1.724 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \mathrm{~m}$ andcrosssectional area $11.60 \mathrm{~mm} 2,30 \mathrm{~mm} 2$ and 3 mm 2 for its installation.It can be observed from Table 5.0 that the modules and the batteries are the two most costly components of a stand-alone photovoltaic system. Increasing the size of these components will increase the overall cost of the system. A cost estimate of the system provided in Table 5.0 shows that the initial cost of the system ( N 2788272 ) is relatively high but the payback period of the system is estimated to be 3.6 years, which is obviously much shorter than the lifespan of the selected PV modules which is 30 years [12]

### 4.0 Conclusions

In this paper, a stand-alone PV system was designed based on $10 \%$ of the Faculty of Engineering Bayero University Kano as a case study. Based on the equipment selected for the design, 96 PV modules, 24 batteries, an inverter and 5 voltage regulators are needed to supply $10 \%$ of the estimated electrical energy demand by the faculty. The use of computer had improved the speed and accuracy of the sizing. The cost estimate of the of the system N2788272 is relatively highwhen compared to that of fossil fuel generator used by the college but the payback period of the system is estimated to be 3.6 years, which is obviously much shorter than the lifespan of the selected PV modules of 30 years. In the long term, the implementation of a stand-alone PV system is both economically and environmentally preferable to fossil fuel generator because of its longer lifespan, minimal running cost and mitigation of environmental pollution.
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## APPENDIX: COMPUTER PROGRAMME FOR THE PROPOSED STAND ALONE PV SYSTEM. PROGRAM PV

C A PROGRAM THAT DESIGN A STAND ALONE PV SYSTEM FOR FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, BAYERO UNIVERSITY, KANO

COMMON N, ND, I, K
REAL P (11, 7), PKHD (11, 7), SUM1, SUM2, ETbo, ftemp, Kloss,

+ CVAL, CHVAL,EL, PRS, Irtd, Cx, T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8,
+ T11, T12, T13, T14, T15, TT1, TT2, TT3, TT4, TT5, TT6, TT7, TT8, + T21, T22, T23, T24, T25, Nms, Ppvar, Nmp, Nmt, Nbreq, Nbs, Nbp, + Nvreg, Ptot

CHARACTER*20 FNAME, REPLY*1, AP*25, APP (11), DEPT (6), DDEPT
INTEGER I, N, ND, K, U, RLEN
PARAMETER (ETinv $=0.85, E T w l s s=0.90, Y=0.0048$,

+ Tclf $=45.0$, TSTC $=25.0$, fman $=0.97$, fdirt $=0.95$, Htilt $=5.5$,
$+\mathrm{PSI}=1.0, \mathrm{~V}$ syst $=120.00, \mathrm{Vmod}=24.00, \mathrm{Pmp}=180.0, \mathrm{NC}=5.0$,
$+\mathrm{DODmx}=0.70, \mathrm{ETout}=0.85$, Csltd $=375.0$, Vbat $=12.0$,
$+\mathrm{PLSC}=0, \mathrm{ISC}=5.38$, fsfty $=1.25$, IsItd $=60$,
+ ftemp $=0.904$,
$+U=2$, RLEN $=200$ )
CREATE A FILE FOR STORING OUTPUT FROM THIS PROGRAM PRINT*, 'PLEASE, ENTER OUTPUT FILE NAME (ANY NAME, NOT MORE + THAN 10 CHARACTERS LONG AND STARTING WITH AN ALPHABET)' READ*, FNAME

CREAT THE INPUT TABLES
PRINT*, 'PLEASE, ENTER THE NUMBER OF APPLIANCES, N AND THE

+ NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTS IN THE FACULTY, ND, SEPARATED BY A COMMA'
READ*, N, ND
DO $4 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
PRINT*, 'ENTER THE NAME OF APPLIANCE NUMBER', I
READ*, AP
APP $(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{AP}$

C CREATE HEADER NOW
DO $5 \mathrm{~K}=1$, ND
PRINT*, 'ENTER THE NAME OF DEPARTMENT NUMBER', K
READ*, DDEPT
DEPT $(\mathrm{K})=$ DDEPT
CONTINUE
C CREATION OF TABLE 2.0 BELOW
DO $7 \mathrm{~K}=1$, ND
DO $6 \mathrm{I}=1$, N
PRINT*, 'ENTER THE ENERGY DEMAND (IN kW) FOR APPLIANCE

+ NUMBER', I, 'FOR DEPARTMENT NUMBER', K
READ*, CVAL
$P(I, K)=C V A L$
CONTINUE
7 CONTINUE
$\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{P}(1,1)+\mathrm{P}(1,2)+\mathrm{P}(1,3)+\mathrm{P}(1,4)+\mathrm{P}(1,5)$
$\mathrm{T} 2=\mathrm{P}(2,1)+\mathrm{P}(2,2)+\mathrm{P}(2,3)+\mathrm{P}(2,4)+\mathrm{P}(2,5)$
$\mathrm{T} 3=\mathrm{P}(3,1)+\mathrm{P}(3,2)+\mathrm{P}(3,3)+\mathrm{P}(3,4)+\mathrm{P}(3,5)$
$\mathrm{T} 4=\mathrm{P}(4,1)+\mathrm{P}(4,2)+\mathrm{P}(4,3)+\mathrm{P}(4,4)+\mathrm{P}(4,5)$

```
    T5 = P (5, 1) +P (5, 2) + P (5, 3) +P (5,4) +P (5, 5)
    T6 = P (6, 1) +P(6, 2) + P (6,3) +P (6, 4) +P (6, 5)
    T7 = P (7, 1) +P (7, 2) + P (7, 3) +P (7, 4) +P (7, 5)
    T8 = P (8, 1) + P (8, 2) +P (8,3) +P (8,4) + P (8, 5)
    T9 = P(9, 1) + P (9, 2) + P (9, 3) +P(9, 4) + P (9, 5)
    T10 = P (10, 1) + P (10, 2) + P (10, 3) +P (10, 4) + P (10, 5)
    T11 = P (1, 1) + P (2, 1) + P (3, 1) +P (4, 1) + P (5, 1)+
+P(6,1)+P(7, 1) +P(8, 1) +P(9, 1) +P(10, 1)
    T12 = P(1, 2) +P(2, 2) +P(3, 2) +P(4, 2) + P (5, 2)+
+P(6, 2) + P (7, 2) + P (8, 2) + P (9, 2) + P (10, 2)
    T13 = P (1, 3) + P (2, 3) + P (3, 3) +P (4, 3) + P (5, 3)+
+P(6,3)+P(7, 3)+P(8,3)+P(9,3) +P(10,3)
    T14 = P(1,4) +P(2,4) +P(3,4)+P(4,4)+P(5,4)+
+P(6,4) +P(7, 4) +P(8,4) +P(9, 4) + P (10, 4)
    T15 = P (1, 5) + P (2, 5) +P (3, 5) +P (4, 5) +P (5, 5)+
+P(6,5) +P(7, 5) +P(8,5) +P(9, 5) + P (10, 5)
PRS = T1+T2+T3+T4+T5+T6+T7+T8+T9+T10
```

C NOW, CREATION OF TABLE 3.0
DO $9 K=1$, ND
DO $8 \mathrm{I}=1$, N
PRINT*, 'ENTER THE ENERGY DEMAND (INkWh/day) FOR APPLIANCE

+ NUMBER', I, 'FOR DEPARTMENT NUMBER', K
READ*, CHVAL
PKHD (I, K) = CHVAL
8

9
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
TT1 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(1,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(1,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(1,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(1,4)+$
$+\operatorname{PKHD}(1,5)$
TT2 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(2,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(2,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(2,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(2,4)+$

+ PKHD (2, 5)
TT3 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(3,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(3,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(3,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(3,4)+$
+ PKHD (3, 5)
TT4 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(4,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(4,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(4,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(4,4)+$
+ PKHD (4, 5)
TT5 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(5,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(5,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(5,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(5,4)+$
+ PKHD (5, 5)
TT6 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(6,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(6,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(6,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(6,4)+$
+ PKHD (6, 5)
TT7 $=$ PKHD $(7,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(7,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(7,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(7,4)+$
+ PKHD (7, 5)
TT8 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(8,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(8,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(8,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(8,4)+$
+ PKHD (8, 5)
TT9 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(9,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(9,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(9,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(9,4)+$
+ PKHD (9, 5)
TT10 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(10,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(10,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(10,3)$
++ PKHD $(10,4)+$ PKHD $(10,5)$
T21 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(1,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(2,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(3,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(4,1)+$
$+\operatorname{PKHD}(5,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(6,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(7,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(8,1)+\operatorname{PKHD}(9,1)$
++ PKHD (10, 1)
T22 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(1,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(2,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(3,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(4,2)+$
$+\operatorname{PKHD}(5,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(6,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(7,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(8,2)+\operatorname{PKHD}(9,2)$
+     + PKHD (10, 2)
T23 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(1,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(2,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(3,3)+\operatorname{PKHD}(4,3)+$
+ PKHD (5, 3)+ PKHD (6, 3) +PKHD (7, 3)+ PKHD (8, 3) + PKHD (9, 3)
+     + PKHD (10, 3)
T24 $=$ PKHD (1, 4) $+\operatorname{PKHD}(2,4)+\operatorname{PKHD}(3,4)+\operatorname{PKHD}(4,4)+$
+ PKHD (5, 4)+ PKHD (6, 4) +PKHD (7, 4)+ PKHD (8, 4) + PKHD (9, 4)
++ PKHD (10, 4)
T25 $=\operatorname{PKHD}(1,5)+\operatorname{PKHD}(2,5)+\operatorname{PKHD}(3,5)+\operatorname{PKHD}(4,5)+$
$+\operatorname{PKHD}(5,5)+\operatorname{PKHD}(6,5)+\operatorname{PKHD}(7,5)+\operatorname{PKHD}(8,5)+\operatorname{PKHD}(9,5)$

```
+ + PKHD (10, 5)
    EL=TT1+TT2+TT3+TT4+TT5+TT6+TT7+TT8+TT9+TT10
```

C

+ AND "N" FOR NO'
READ*, REPLY
IF (REPLY .EQ. 'N') THEN
PRINT*, 'THEN RE-ENTER INPUT VALUES'
GOTO 3
ELSE
END IF

Cx = (NC * EL * 1000.000)*0.1 / (DODmx * Vsyst * ETout)
Nbreq = Cx / Csitd
Nbs = Vsyst / Vbat
Nbp = Nbreq / Nbs
PRINT*, 'Nbp =', Nbp
PRINT*, 'IS THE VALUE OF Nbp SATISFACTORY?

+ PRESS "Y" FOR YES AND "N" FOR NO'
READ*, REPLY
IF (REPLY .EQ. 'N') THEN
PRINT*, 'THEN RE-ENTER INPUT VALUES'
GOTO 3
ELSE
END IF
Irtd = Nmp * ISC * fsfty
Nvreg = Irtd / IsItd
PRINT*, 'Nvreg =', Nvreg
PRINT*, 'IS THE VALUE OF Nvreg SATISFACTORY? PRESS "Y" FOR YES
+ AND "N" FOR NO'
READ*, REPLY
IF (REPLY .EQ. 'N') THEN
PRINT*, 'THEN RE-ENTER INPUT VALUES'
GOTO 3
ELSE
END IF

12
Ptot $=\left((\text { PRS }+(\text { PLSC* } 3))^{*} 0.1^{*} 1.25\right.$
PRINT*, 'Ptot =', Ptot
PRINT*, 'IS THE VALUE OF Ptot SATISFACTORY? PRESS "Y" FOR YES

+ AND "N" FOR NO'
READ*, REPLY
IF (REPLY .EQ. 'N') THEN
PRINT*, 'THEN RE-ENTER INPUT VALUES'
GOTO 3
ELSE
END IF
C INPUTS AND OUTPUTS

13
OPEN (UNIT= U, FILE= FNAME, STATUS= 'NEW', ACCESS='SEQUENTIAL',

+ FORM = 'FORMATTED', BLANK = 'NULL', RECL = RLEN)
WRITE (2, *) ''

```
    WRITE (2, *) '
    WRITE (2, *)'
    WRITE (2, *) '
    WRITE (2, *)' INPUT PARAMETERS'
    WRITE (2, *)' ETinv = 0.85, ETwIss =0.90, Y=0.48, Tclf =
+45, TSTC = 25, fman = 0.97, ftemp = 0.904, fdirt = 0.95'
    WRITE (2, *)'Htilt = 5.5, PSI = 1, Vsyst = 120, Vmod =24,
+ Pmp = 180, NC = 5, DODmx = 0.70, ETout = 0.85'
    WRITE (2, *) 'CsItd = 375, Vbat = 12, ISC = 5.38,
+ fsfty = 1.25, Isltd = 60, PLSC = 0
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, *) '
```

$\qquad$

```
+ _
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, *) ' INPUT DATA'
    WRITE (2, *) '
        '_
    WRITE (2, *) '
    WRITE (2, *) ' TABLE 2.0: Estimated Energy Demand
+ Per AppliancePer Department in kW'
    WRITE (2, *) '
    WRITE (2, 30) 'APPLIANCES', 'ENERGY DEMAND IN kW'
    WRITE (2, *) '
        __
+
    WRITE (2, 40) (DEPT(K), K = 1, ND), 'TOTAL'
    WRITE (2, *) '
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, 50) APP (1), P (1, 1), P (1, 2), P (1, 3), P (1, 4),
+P(1,5),T1
    WRITE (2, *) '
```

$\qquad$

```
+ _ WRITE (2,*)'',
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, 50) APP (2), P (2, 1), P (2, 2), P (2, 3), P (2, 4),
+P(2,5),T2
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, *) '
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, 50) APP (3), P (3, 1), P (3, 2), P (3, 3), P (3, 4),
+ P (3, 5), T3
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, *) '
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, 50) APP (4), P (4, 1), P (4, 2), P (4, 3), P (4, 4),
+P(4, 5),T4
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, *) '
+_ WRITE (2,*)'' '
    WRITE (2, *)''
    WRITE (2, 50) APP (5), P (5, 1), P (5, 2), P (5, 3), P (5, 4),
+P(5, 5), T5
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, *) '
        '_
+
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, 50) APP (6), P (6, 1), P (6, 2), P (6, 3), P (6, 4),
+P(6,5),T6
    WRITE (2, *) ''
    WRITE (2, *) '
+
+

WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE (2, 50) APP (7), P (7, 1), P (7, 2), P (7, 3), P (7, 4),
\(+\mathrm{P}(7,5), \mathrm{T7}\)
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
\(+\quad\) WRITE \(\left(2,{ }^{*}\right)^{\prime \prime}\)
WRITE (2, 50) APP (8), P (8, 1), P (8, 2), P (8, 3), P (8, 4),
\(+\mathrm{P}(8,5), \mathrm{T} 8\)
WRITE (2, *) ''
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE \((2,50)\) APP (9), P (9, 1), P (9, 2), P (9, 3), P (9, 4),
\(+\mathrm{P}(9,5)\), T9
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, 50) APP (10), P (10, 1), P (10, 2), P (10, 3),
\(+P(10,4), P(10,5), T 10\)
WRITE (2, *) ''
WRITE (2, *) '
\(+\quad\) WRITE \(\left(2,{ }^{*}\right)^{\prime \prime}\)
WRITE \((2,50)\) 'TOTAL', T11, T12, T13, T14, T15, PRS
WRITE (2, *) ''
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)


WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ',
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' TABLE3. 6: Estimated Energy Demand Per
+ Appliance Per Department in kWh/day'
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
\(+\) \(\qquad\)
WRITE \((2,30)\) 'APPLIANCES', 'ENERGY DEMAND IN kWh/day'
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
\(+\)
WRITE \((2,40)\) (DEPT(K), K = 1, ND), 'TOTAL'
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE (2, 50) APP (1), PKHD (1, 1), PKHD (1, 2), PKHD (1, 3),
\(+\operatorname{PKHD}(1,4), \operatorname{PKHD}(1,5)\), TT1
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE \((2,50)\) APP (2), PKHD (2, 1), PKHD (2, 2), PKHD (2, 3),
\(+\operatorname{PKHD}(2,4)\), PKHD (2, 5), TT2
WRITE (2, *)'
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE \((2,50)\) APP (3), PKHD (3, 1), PKHD (3, 2), PKHD \((3,3)\),
+ PKHD (3, 4), PKHD (3, 5), TT3
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' '

WRITE (2, 50) APP (4), PKHD (4, 1), PKHD (4, 2), PKHD (4, 3),
\(+\operatorname{PKHD}(4,4), \operatorname{PKHD}(4,5)\), TT4
WRITE (2, *) ',
\(\qquad\)
WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE \((2,50) \operatorname{APP}(5), \operatorname{PKHD}(5,1), \operatorname{PKHD}(5,2), \operatorname{PKHD}(5,3)\),
\(+\operatorname{PKHD}(5,4), \operatorname{PKHD}(5,5)\), TT5
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE \((2,50)\) APP (6), \(\operatorname{PKHD}(6,1), \operatorname{PKHD}(6,2), \operatorname{PKHD}(6,3)\),
\(+\operatorname{PKHD}(6,4), \operatorname{PKHD}(6,5)\), TT6
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE \((2,50) \operatorname{APP}(7), \operatorname{PKHD}(7,1), \operatorname{PKHD}(7,2), \operatorname{PKHD}(7,3)\),
\(+\operatorname{PKHD}(7,4), \operatorname{PKHD}(7,5)\), TT7
WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE (2, 50) APP (8), PKHD (8, 1), PKHD (8, 2), PKHD (8, 3),
\(+\operatorname{PKHD}(8,4), \operatorname{PKHD}(8,5)\), TT8
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
\(+\)
\(+\quad\) WRITE \(\left(2,{ }^{*}\right)^{\prime \prime}\)
WRITE \((2,50) \operatorname{APP}(9), \operatorname{PKHD}(9,1), \operatorname{PKHD}(9,2), \operatorname{PKHD}(9,3)\),
\(+\operatorname{PKHD}(9,4), \operatorname{PKHD}(9,5)\), TT9
WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE \((2,50)\) APP (10), PKHD \((10,1), \operatorname{PKHD}(10,2)\),
+ PKHD (10, 3), PKHD (10, 4), PKHD (10, 5), TT10
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
\(+\)
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE \((2,50)\) 'TOTAL', T21, T22, T23, T24, T25, EL
WRITE (2, *) ''
WRITE (2, *) '

WRITE (2, *) ' '
WRITE ( 2, * \(^{*}\) '
OUTPUT'
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
\(+\)
WRITE (2, *) 'ETbo =', Etbo, 'ftemp =', Ftemp, 'Kloss =',
+ Kloss, 'Ppvar =', Ppvar
WRITE (2, *) '
\(+\longrightarrow\) '
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE (2, *)'Nms =', Nms, 'Nmp =', Nmp, 'Nmt =', Nmt
WRITE (2, *) '
\(+\ldots\) '
WRITE (2, *) 'Cx =', Cx, 'Nbreq =', Nbreq, 'Nbs =', Nbs,
+ 'Nbp =', Nbp, 'Irtd =', Irtd, 'Nvreg =', Nvreg
WRITE (2, *) '
\(+\) \(\qquad\)
WRITE (2, *) ''
WRITE (2, *) '
WRITE \((2,60)\) Irtd
WRITE (2, *) 'Ptot =', Ptot
WRITE (2, *) ' \(\qquad\)
\(+\quad\) WRITE \(\left(2,{ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\)
FORMAT (A17, 20X, A46)
FORMAT (21X, A16, 2X, A10, 2X, A15, 2X, A15, 2X, A16, 2X, A6)
FORMAT (A25, 4X, F8.3, 5X, F8.3, 5X, F8.3, 10X, F8.3, 11X, + F8.3, 7X, F8.3)
FORMAT (F10.3)
CLOSE (U)
END```

