

The Comparative Analysis Of Coping In Two Different Vulnerable Areas In Bangladesh

Tahmina Yasmin, Khaled Masud Ahmed

Abstract: The negative consequence of climate change and its correlation to the disaster have augmented people's vulnerabilities and exposures especially in the developing countries like Bangladesh. Though people exposed to the vulnerabilities and risks, seem to be less prepared to deal with adverse situation, they base traditional knowledge in coping with the changing climate. This research is unique in this regard as it reveals the criteria that influence people's ability to cope in the long run by identifying the different coping practices in terms of spatial, socio-economic and mental instability. A few literatures were found basically emphasized on the current coping mechanisms rather than concentrating the criteria that influence the coping capacities. Data were collected through numerous questionnaire surveys with selected households living in a char land and a remote island of Bangladesh and also used different tools of Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment techniques. The outcome revealed that the inhabitants have to spend maximum portion of their income for food and repairing houses that are often destroyed by recurring disasters just to survive. Savings are almost zero and medical and education expenses are only amplifying their burden. The most suitable options for coping includes; eat fewer meals, borrowing money or take loan and sell labour in cheap at advance. All these copings are leading people to malnutrition, economic imbalance, and domestic hostility along with mental instability which would hinder people's ability to take proper decision in time of risk or affect current coping ability with recurring events or the vice versa.

Index Terms: Adaptation; resilience; coping; climate change; disaster; char land; remote island.

1 INTRODUCTION

Climate change impact has unequivocal influence on the increased intensity and frequency of natural disasters and extreme weather events throughout the world [1, 2]. Consequently, the casualties from these natural disaster and extreme weather events also increased dramatically over the past decade [3]. During the period 2000 to 2009 approximately 949 million people were affected by floods worldwide where the vast proportion of these people lived in low-medium income countries [4]. On the other hand, tropical cyclones have caused an estimated 1.33 million deaths (629 million people affected) since the beginning of the 20th century [5] and most of the deaths (more than 90%) were caused by the cyclones that originated in Asian waters [6]. Other than casualties all these extreme weather events and disasters impede the typical socio-economic and technological advancement and increased people's vulnerabilities especially in the developing countries. Associated with other factors, rural and agricultural populations such as poor population living in the semi-arid areas in Africa, Asia and Latin America or in low-lying coastal and riverine areas, especially in Asia would bear the cost of climate change impact in terms of food and water scarcity [7].

As a result of this concern, the international governance, national governance, development agencies and other organizations are considering to surplus traditional coping practices for building resilient community. Community adaptations and implementation of proper indigenous knowledge is necessary to be resilient. In this study resilience is known as the adaptive capacity of a system, community or society potentially exposed to hazards and has the strength to resist or maintain the minimum functioning as like it was before whereas adaptive capacity is the ability to adjust to climate change or extreme events, to moderate potential damages, or to cope with the consequences. Adaptive measures and coping is synonymous, according to International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (ISDR), coping is defined the ways people using their available resources and their abilities to face and manage adverse circumstance. Understanding of existing local coping mechanisms and their interrelation to the socio-economic dynamics as well as people's mental ability to cope in the long run will lead to the community resilience. National governments are implementing various initiatives for mitigation and adaptation initiatives though it is very essential to surplus the local traditional practices which is more convenient to make community resilience. A few literatures were found [8]-[13] basically emphasized on the current coping mechanisms that those different communities have developed over the years for such recurring events rather than concentrating the criteria that influence there coping capacities in the long run. This research is unique in terms of filling this gap in the literature. It reveals the criteria that influence people's ability to cope in the long run by identifying the different coping practices in terms of spatial, socio-economic and mental instability. The outcomes will certainly enrich the mitigation and adaptation initiatives for building resilient community as well as it might be transferable from one type of disaster to another type or from one area to another.

- *Tahmina Yasmin is currently providing voluntary research support to the School of Civil Engineering and the Built Environment, Queensland University of Technology, 2 George Street, Brisbane, QLD 4000, Australia, PH- 0450651877, E-mail: t.yasmin@qut.edu.au*
- *Khaled Masud Ahmed is working as the Senior Disaster Manager in the International Federations of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, Bangladesh.*

2 BANGLADESH: NATURE AND EXTENT OF VULNERABILITIES TO CLIMATE INDUCED NATURAL DISASTER

Bangladesh is one of the most vulnerable countries to climate change. Signature of variability in the six seasons is events which influence crops pattern and their life cycle. Researchers have recognized Bangladesh, as the first in terms of flood and second in terms of storms/cyclone from the 12 lower income countries at severe risk to the five climate threats [14, 15]. Changes in rainfall patterns, droughts in rainy seasons, early and late monsoon, recurring floods, cyclones cold wave and warm winters are all obvious signs of these changes. Bangladesh faces at least one major disaster a year; it has lost on average 3.02 % of its GDP every year during the last 10 years and holds the highest disaster mortality rate in the world [16]. These changes are deteriorating our social and economic structure in a significant way. The changes of climate is mostly affecting major portion of our population living in the remote Islands and char lands. Though people exposed to the climate change negative effect seem to be less prepared to deal with adverse situation, they base indigenous, traditional knowledge and access to technology in coping with the changing climate. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) vulnerability to climate change impact may increase due to rising populations in climate-sensitive areas such as parts of Bangladesh, China, Egypt and Pakistan, irrespective of climate change [17]. Best example in Bangladesh was 2007, such as Sidr, Ayla, and early flash flood. According to disaster statistics in Bangladesh for the last 30 years, the average flood events per year is 2.19 and storm per year is 3.48 and associated economic damage per year for flood is 165,129.41 US\$ and for storm 49,478.70 US\$ [18]. The government of Bangladesh (GoB), has created the Bangladesh Climate Change Trust Fund (BCCTF) of US\$ 100 million each in 2009, 2010 and 2011 entirely from its own budget. The government also created another pot of funding Bangladesh Climate Change Resilience Fund (BCCRF) with support of the United Kingdom, Sweden and European Union, has taken multiple initiatives aimed at fostering climate change adaptation measures. Last three decades, the GoB with its development partners has invested over \$10 billion to make the country more resilient against climate induced natural disasters. A key focus of these measures is to build community resilience to respond, withstand, and recover from adverse situation. However, most of these initiatives are concentrated in areas susceptible for catastrophic natural hazards which quite often turned into disasters (e.g. cyclones, floods) which are in severity level but occur less frequently. In contrast, a larger part of the country often faces recurring natural phenomenon (e.g. flood, river erosion, cyclone) every year albeit less severe. The costs associated with these recurring events might be higher when aggregated than a major event. The flood of 1998 caused damage of US\$ 2.5 billion which equivalent to the proposed Padma Bridge. All these social and economic disruptions are making poor people to poorer, reducing their coping capacities and putting huge stress on their decision making process and therefore, increasing future risks. This research aims to contribute indicating these interrelationships between people's coping capacities, their socio-economic differences and mental ability for coping to the recurring extreme weather events. It will provide information in the knowledge gap for taking initiatives to

enhance resiliency of the community of Bangladesh.

3 LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1 Resilience, Adaptive Capacity and Coping

Resilience in our study refers to the adaptive capacity either inherited or techniques gained in disaster events of community linked with environment exposed to hazards and climate change impact and has the strength retain the minimum functioning as like it was before. In previous studies many researchers have defined resilience more or less with similar meanings of above mentioned definition [19]. We can measure resilience by the degree to which the social system is capable of organising itself to increase its capacity for learning from past disasters for better future protection and to improve risk reduction measures' [16]. Building resilience of any community requires structured and standard actions such as increase living standard and easy access to resources as well as includes some actions to ensure the community for the recovery of their damage and loss [19]. Fourth assessment report of IPCC define resilience, as "the ability of a social or ecological system to absorb disturbances while retaining the same basic structure and ways of functioning, the capacity for self-organization, and the capacity to adapt to stress and change" [20]. From evaluation point of view researcher has considered resilience as social and economic resilience. Social resilience includes social networks and social capital & institutions and economic resilience includes equity, diversification of livelihoods, technology & infrastructure [21]. However, 'the capacity to adapt to stress and change' left behind. In this study adaptive capacity is defined as the ability to adjust to climate change or extreme events, to moderate potential damages, or to cope with the consequences [22]. Adaptation practices are required to address the impact of global warming and can lessen the risk and vulnerabilities associated with negative consequences of climate change [22]. Lower adaptive capacity and potentially severe climate change impact is the cause to increase the vulnerabilities of the population residing in the developing countries like Bangladesh [17]. Therefore, strengthen coping capacities can enhance resilience of a community to withstand the effects of hazards [16].

3.2 Global Context

3.2.1 General Adaptation and Coping

Building community resilience and for enhancing preparedness, the community people of the Cayman Island has implemented several adaptation initiatives both at national and local level learned from the impact in three major hurricanes in 1988, 1998, and 2000. In 2004 after the hurricane Ivan strike these initiatives tested and improved by the lesson learned. Adaptation initiatives include changes in the rules and governance of hurricane risk, change in organizations, establishment of early warning systems and promotion of self-mobilization in civil society and private corporations. Social learning, the diversified adaptation options and the promotion of strong local social consistency and mechanisms for collective action have all enhanced resilience and continue to guide planning for future climate change [23]. In case of Trinidad and Tobago networks associated with coral reef management contributed a lot in disaster preparedness and resilience to the impact of climate Change [19]. Adaptation

should consider current vulnerabilities, particular context and produce multiple benefits [24]. Re-introduction of traditional cereal crops which have their ability to tolerant drought proven very effective for the people of Keiyo district in Kenya. Moreover, community people also adopted the cultivation of early-maturing crops developed by the Kenya Seed Company Limited and Kenya Agricultural Research Institute (KARI) for increasing their crop production within seasonal calendar [13]. Before these adaptation food scarcity prevailed in that area for more than 8 months in a year and some time longer than that. People used to cope by reducing their number of meal, rationing food intake, reliance on food assistance, migration in search of pasture and water for livestock and in extreme situations, sale of livestock to purchase food commodities and so on [13]. Similar practices of coping exits in other drought prone countries of Africa [25- 31] and very little coping strategies had been explored with other types of natural disasters [32].

3.2.2. Economic Instability to Coping

After any disaster strike, the total population does not undergo equal aftermath, it depend on the socio-economic imbalance as well as demographic differences [33]. Researchers signify the fact that the poor communities need the incorporation of resilience and sustainability more in the planning process than the economically stable community [34]. Gradual increases in economic loss during flood events certainly posing threats to vulnerable communities and ensured they are more likely to experience further economic loss [35]. The UK government estimated that climate change could lead to a loss of at least 5% of global GDP each year and could rise to 20% if a wider range of risks and impacts is taken account [36]. The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC, 2010) warns that developing countries will bear the brunt of climate change through economic shrinkage and therefore, in uncertainty to reaching the Millennium Development Goals (MDG). Vulnerability and risk exposure also depends on income inequality and access to natural resources: higher exposure levels are associated with higher inequality and less access to land. Inequality also results in higher flood damage, confirming the hypothesis found in the literature that an unequal income distribution contributes to socioeconomic vulnerability. The relationship between poverty and damage costs (and hence vulnerability) appears to be more complex. The poorer households are more sufferer and less capacity to cope rather than wealthier households. Approximately one-third of their annual household income is lost due to flooding [37].

3.2.3 Psychological Instability to Coping

Displaced people have their own stress leaving their birthplace due to affected by natural hazards and forced to settle down in places which are already exposed to risk. In Psychology it is termed as stress due to cataclysmic events. Depression and Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) are the consequences of this stress. In a study researchers reviewed 52 studies examining the impact of world disaster events upon subsequent psychopathology and they found these two syndromes as result [38]. Furthermore, there are possibilities of increased risk of other musculoskeletal complaints, as manifested by escalating work-related injuries, work absence, and lost productivity in a distressed population [39]. Based on the findings of previous studies of 34 epidemiological cases of

the mental health consequences of flooding in developing countries and illustrated the fact that, there are serious mental health problems following flooding events. Reviewer suggested to include mental health issues in disaster response and also mentioned that this burden would increase as the impact of climate change [40]. Resilience is depend upon individual, communities, government and NGOs collective initiatives with diverse value and it affects the decision making process [41, 42]. Mentally instable community could not able to make proper decision in time of danger and thus increased their vulnerabilities.

3.3. Bangladesh Context

In Bangladesh, researchers emphasized on adaptation to flooding [43-48]; indigenous adjustment strategies to flooding [49-51], adjustment strategies to agricultural cropping patterns [49, 52, 9] and; coping with riverbank erosion [53- 55]. A few studies also paid attention to drought mitigation measures [56-58], some have focused on adaptation measures for climate change induced sea level rise, cyclones, flash floods and storm surges [59- 61]; causes of cyclones and storm surges, impacts and mitigation measures [62-70]; community response to multiple coastal hazards [71] Recently, Paul (2009a) has identified the causes of reduced deaths and injuries in recent cyclones in Bangladesh. The funnel shaped coastal part of Bangladesh is recognized to be the breeding ground for cyclonic events, average frequency of tropical disturbance in the Bay of Bengal twelve to thirteen, of which five attain cyclonic strength. Although they contribute 5% to 6% of global total events, share 75% of global total lost. In every year, at least one major tropical cyclone strikes [72-73] washing away hundreds of lives and property with tidal surge. This coast is very vulnerable than many other regions of the world [65]. Several severe cyclones hit Bangladesh in 1822, 1876, 1961, 1965, 1970, 1991 and 2007 [74-76]. During the cyclones of 1970, 1991 and 2007 about 500,000, 138,000 and 3,406 people were killed respectively [59, 76, 58]. Study showed that half of total deaths of the world due to cyclones and induced surges occurred in Bangladesh [59, 76]. The geographic location, unusual characteristics of tropical monsoon climate, a shallow continental shelf with the confluence of three mighty river systems and funnel shaped estuary exacerbate cyclone and surge impacts in Bangladesh [73, 77, 78, 58]. Researchers has emphasized on the major part of the coastal region whereas some of the small island people's coping was unknown as well as their sufferings. Recurring flooding had also caused wide spread disruption of lives and property with huge economic loss to the riverine areas of Bangladesh such as 1987, 1988, 1998, 2004 and 2007. More than 60% of the country was inundated, i.e., an area of approximately 100,000 km for a duration of nearly three months [79]. Eighty percent of the country consists of floodplains of the Ganges, Brahmaputra, Meghna, and several other minor rivers and poor rural inhabitants of the 75% of the total populations of 132 million people (in 2001) earning on average US\$325 per capita per year [80]. These populations have their own coping mechanisms and yet very little had been discovered. This study will look into the current capacities and coping of the inhabitants of small Island and char land who are constantly facing natural disasters and living in the utmost risk areas of Bangladesh. Bangladesh is highly appreciated for its numerous improvements in disaster management especially for the national level preparedness. The GoB has taken

several initiatives with support of international agencies which include; Climate-Resilient Ecosystems and Livelihoods (CREL) program in March 2013, Bangladesh Climate Change Resilience Fund (BCCRF) in May 2010, National Disaster Risk Reduction platform with key ministries, department and civil society organizations in 2010, the Bangladesh Climate Change Strategy and Action Plan (BCCSAP), 2009 and The National Adaptation Programme of Action (NAPA) in 2005. In disaster management Bangladesh has moved from the traditional preparedness and recovery to community level adaptations and resilience. National level preparedness included about 10,000 km of embankments, 3500 km of drainage channels, 4,000 regulators and around 2100 cyclone shelters in Bangladesh to provide protection against flood, water logging, salt water intrusion and cyclone. In agricultural sector Bangladeshi scientists have invented climate resilient crop varieties and farming systems. In present this country is struggling to protect these investments against climate change and ensure that future investments are made climate-proof. In BCCSAP, 2009 the GoB has proposed a range of adaptation activities: institutional (early warning systems, river management, land use zoning); physical (cyclone shelters, embankments, drainage structures); agricultural (crop & livestock diversity, drought and flood resistant varieties); environmental (mangrove belts, diverting freshwater flow, social forestry along embankments/roads); and, livelihoods (income diversity, rural-urban linkages, market linkage). To make these initiatives effective key important goals are adaptation, disaster preparedness and resilience community. Successful community-level adaptation often requires three key steps: adopt a gradual process of adaptation; build on disaster preparedness; and, develop resilience.

3.4 Pre-disaster Coping

Different coping mechanisms identified all over the globe depending upon people's cultural and socio-economic context rather than the vulnerability severity or risks. It also varies within the same geographical boundaries. Every community practices their own knowledge that has developed over the years. In the coastal region of Bangladesh for cyclones, half of people go to the shelters after they heard early warnings and one third of the people prepared themselves with their traditional approaches such as they tied their house with strong rope or wire to tie four sides to a large trees in a secure and balanced way. In the flooded areas people made their beds or boxes with long legs to keep their valuables in time of floods. They also build extra ceilings underneath the main ones to store crops, food and fuel to protect from inundation [81, 12]. In Kenya along with indigenous techniques of storage people adopted some modern techniques for storing more foods [13] similar to the other countries of Africa continent. The popular strategies for preparedness especially for cyclone and storm surge people of Bangladesh build indigenous structures made of bamboo called Machan and Pataton to protect household items, foods and goods. In addition, to protect households, people used to build their dwelling unit or courtyard on a raised earth platform. For reducing the impact of strong winds people make their roof with gentle slope towards the south-east. Most preferred saved food items were rice, flattened rice, fried rice, chili, onion, gur, potato, pulses, oil, biscuits, dry fish etc. They keep these in polythene bag, jute bag, plastic container and aluminium pots and store in above the Machan or a shallow pond or tie them to strong

trees with cloth or rope in the hope of retrieving these after the disaster. [12].

3.5 Post-disaster Coping

The char land people generally cope by moving housing structures, livestock, and family members to safer areas during flooded season [8]. Similarly cyclone affected people also move their valuables and family to a relative house or nearby lower risky areas peoples' house, sometimes in the road, embankments or elevated areas. Store drinking water and sometime keep water purifying tablets. After the disaster strike people tried to cope with their savings if they have any or sell their property, jewelries', small poultry and valuable things or took loan from relatives or money lenders [81, 46, 12]. Most commonly post disaster coping involve survive with foods and shelters. For that people involved themselves in government or NGO sponsored 'food for work' or 'cash for work' programs, a few involved in rickshaw-van pulling, fuel wood collection, repairing houses, boats and nets, and a few instances of begging. Many villagers could not get employment and relied on savings for food and other emergency purposes, gathering of wild foods, fuel woods, and extra income by temporary migration, etc [12]. According to the previous studies, borrowing of money is a common coping measure [46]. More than 80% of the people depend on loan on mahajans/arotdars or any other institutions that offer loan. People borrowed money after a cyclone (79%) to rebuild livelihoods, meet food consumption needs and emergencies [12].

4 DATA AND METHODS

4.1 Selection of Case Study Areas

Two geographically distinct areas were selected to study.

- i) Bandartila is an offshore village of the Nijhumdwp island most southern tip in the Bay of Bengal, under Hatiya upazila in Noakhali District surrounded by Meghna River to the west and the Bay of Bengal to the south with some fertile highlands. Nijhumdwp island is a cluster of islands (mainly, Ballar Char, Kamlar char, Char Osman and Char Muri) emerged in the early 1950s as an alluvium in the shallow estuary of the Bay of Bengal on to the south of Noakhali now considered as sanctuary for deer, and the Keora plant. There are total nine words/villages in this island. Bandartilla is a low lying village made up of alluvial soil and the total area of agricultural land in the village is 25 acres (source: Upazila Agriculture Office). This village is highly vulnerable to disaster specially cyclone and storm surge due to its geographical location and currently very much expose to salinity intrusion as there is no embankment around the village. There is riverbank erosion in some parts and as most of the land area is depressed, it is prone to flooding especially the banks of rivers. Sand deposition from the floods, damages the cultivable lands around the river banks while salinity from the tides often hampers crop production. NAPA initiated by the Ministry of Environment and Forest of Bangladesh government has identified that other than population living in the coastal belt is more vulnerable than other areas of the country [82] and about 88% of the people of Hatiya upazilla live below the poverty line in respect of income and calorie intake [83].

- ii) Patgram is relatively new char land (mid-river island) situated in Manikganj zila, during the 1980s people started establishing habitats there. It has no land connection or road connectivity with the mainland and is entirely surrounded by the large river Padma. Patgram is known in local language duba-char and characterized by as the central part being relatively higher than the fringes. The deposits in the centre are usually sandy and the peripheral areas are made up with silt. Only the larger duba-char is suitable for human habitation and smaller duba-chars with catkin grass and other primary vegetation are used only for cattle grazing. Patgram is a large duba-char and is about 6kms away from the Harirampur upazila. Most of the land is fertile and rabi crops grows here well. Because of its geographical position, it faces multiple disasters throughout the year such as riverbank erosion, floods, cyclones, cold waves.

These two different areas have been selected based on their vulnerabilities and exposure to two different major natural hazards of Bangladesh. Patgram is surrounded by the mighty Padma River and affected by recurring flood associated with river erosion, on the other hand Bandartilla is a part of island in Bay of Bengal and affected by recurring cyclones associated with storm surge and salinity intrusion. Almost every year the inhabitants of these areas face natural catastrophes which cause economic and social damage and they have to pay persistently. There are similarities in these two areas such as the inhabitants are mostly migrated population due to affected by natural calamities, living in disaster prone areas and surrounded by water, affected by recurring natural hazards, limited opportunity of work, trying hard to survive in the new evolved ecosystem with new adjustments, social differences is very obvious and poor are sufferer by the rich. The baseline of socio-economic condition is already low which is compounded by increased salinity intrusion challenging crops production.

4.2 Data Collection

4.2.1 Primary Data Collection and Analysis

A household questionnaire survey was conducted in these two case study areas in 2012 (source: Bangladesh Red Crescent Society, Climate Change Adaptation project) and a total of 900 questionnaires were collected. Under this survey 82 items of socio-economic, disaster coping and climate change adaptation questionnaire were included. Data was quantitative some of them are with multiple choice question and some are open ended. Selected skilled volunteers interviewed all the respondents by door to door visit at their convenient time over eight weeks. Individual interviews covered general profile of the house head, family composition, education, previous disaster experience, disaster preparedness, coping and perceptions of the climate change, response, mental imbalance and stress. In addition to the questionnaire survey, some Vulnerability and Capacity assessment (VCA) tools had been used, such as Transect Walk, Social and Institutional Network Analysis, Direct Observation Key Informant Interview (KII), Social and Risk Mapping, Focus Group Discussion (FGD), Livelihood Analysis and Historical Profile.

4.2.1.1 Transect Walk

Volunteers walked through the village along with the main road and gained a general understanding of the area by seeing and attentive hearing. They have prepared a sketch of the study area for selecting their starting and end point for the questionnaire survey.

4.2.1.2 Social and Institutional Network Analysis

Discussions were held randomly with selected local people both male and female regarding various social institutions and their activities, local people's perceptions, relations and inferring about the functioning of these institutions. All the discussions were held in a small group in tea table meeting and some are in yard gossiping.

4.2.1.3 Direct Observation (DO)

Direct observation includes identifying vulnerabilities and actual risks existing in the village by the help of the local people. As volunteers are skilled enough to identify vulnerabilities; they mapped vulnerable and risk areas of the study areas showing communication channels.

4.2.1.4 Key Informant Interview (KII)

Several interviews were held with selected elite or significant elder persons identified by the local people of the study areas. They described the current situation for any change in climate and its impact, disaster, coping or adjustments, basic requirements for coping with calamities and so on.

4.2.1.5 Social and Risk Mapping

Local people prepared a map of social resources and risk through the facilitation of the volunteers. Gender balance was carefully maintained during the drawing of the map. These maps are extremely useful in understanding vulnerabilities, risks and natural resource management according to the local people.

4.2.1.6 Focus Group Discussion (FGD)

There were total six FGDs were held with men, women and children in the two study areas. From the discussions the variations and coping differences in terms of gender need, vulnerabilities, required capacities and strategies to overcome the after recovery need and stress were drawn.

4.2.1.7 Livelihood Analysis

Livelihood analysis tool was used to understand the livelihood pattern in the study areas and the available natural, social and individual resources. It also provides significant information regarding the social structure and power distribution of those particular areas. Moreover, it identified the impact of disasters on the livelihoods of the local community.

4.2.1.8 Historical Profile

Historical profile reflects the comparisons of the past events and future scenarios and the likely impact on key sectors such as livelihood, risks, vulnerabilities, coping capacities, etc. The historical profile was done by aged people as it bases past to the future direction.

4.2.2 Secondary Data Collection

Secondary information was collected from several upazila and union level government departments and agencies. Other than that relevant information was collected from sources such as

research studies and documents, scholarly journals, information from specialized websites, and government and non-government research and policy documents, published books, websites, national and international organizations, Government Offices like Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS), Bangladesh Water Development Board (BWDB), Disaster Management Bureau and Ministry of Food and Disaster Management.

4.3 Limitation of the Study

This study conducted with large data set. Individual households were covered through interview to get a clear understanding of the community vulnerabilities and exposures with their coping capacities. Therefore, it was not possible to capture all the preparedness and coping mechanisms rather searched for broader aspects. Both the study areas are in a remote place and the communication system is very poor, so volunteers faced problems of conducting the survey.

5 RESULTS

Under this research vulnerability and coping capacity of the Bandartilla and Patgram community identified in terms of geographic and socio-economic aspects. Living in the disaster prone areas for the long period community people enhanced their own coping mechanisms by using their experiences and indigenous knowledge. Both the communities have migrated from their birthplace due to natural hazards built their perception and strengthened capacity than other communities. From the study it has been found that more than 92% people perceived that the intensity and frequency of the hazards and vulnerabilities of the people have increased over the last few decades. Slight difference is found in char land than the coastal area as coastal people's perception to experience the trend of the hazards is very long. Previous studies show more or less similar [81]. According to many researchers, climate change impact has aggravated the social and economic difficulties to the communities with vulnerability and exposures to risk [19] and it is evident from the African farmers that though they have improved in adaptation to climate change impact [84-85], there are plenty others in extreme risk because of socio-economic and technological reasons.

5.1 Socio-economic Differences

There are total 400 families live in Bandartilla village and around 500 families in Patgram. A majority (80%) of people living in Bandartilla were fishermen and rest of them were farmers and day labourers whereas farming was the main (85%) profession of people living in Patgram and also with other professions such as boatmen, fishermen, blacksmiths, small businessmen, barber, goldsmiths, bull-cart pullers, etc. (source: Upazila Agriculture Office). Similar to the other areas of Bangladesh more than half of the population (62%-Bandartilla and 56%-Patgram) is dependent population in both the areas. The main cash crop is rice and they also produce small amounts of saline tolerant varieties, other than rice, the Bandartilla people also cultivate small amounts of fruits such as guava, mango, coconut, boroi, bananas, papaya and vegetables like chili, eggplant, tomatoes, betel nuts, etc. The production of crops decreased drastically because of the increased salinity in the soil. Also, deer is a pest for the villagers, as they come into their agricultural lands and eat their crops, because of food shortages in the forest. This has in turn caused food insecurity amongst the villagers. Most of

the people of the village are deep sea fishermen and have to venture out to the Bay of Bengal for catch. Often, when darkness falls, the islet cannot be identified and it becomes difficult for these fishermen to return home. Because of its location in the heart of the Bay, cyclones and storm surges regularly attack the village. The big cyclones and SIDR that hit this area were during 1970, 1985, 1987, 1991 and 2007 and cyclone Aila in recent years that took a lot of lives in the village. On the other hand, Patgram is newly emerged char land and very fertile; various crops such as rice (Boro), mung beans, corn, mashkalai dal (lentil), sesame seeds, mustard, kheshari dal (lentil), peanuts and jute grows here. In the FGDs, farmers of Patgram complained about lost of some of the varieties those are cultivated in most of their land 25 years ago due to intense raining in rainy season and no rain at all in dry season. Such varieties include Aus and Aman rice, different varieties of jute, rai mustard, white mustard, black seeds (spices), sugarcane and sunflowers. As Patgram is disconnected from the mainland, river transportation system is the only means of communication and for the inland movement there are some horse carts and a few motor bikes whereas in Bandartilla village small sea track and other riverine transport is available and for inland movement there are rickshaws, cycle and motor bikes are available. Bandartilla people possess limited source of safe drinking water supply. In both the dry and wet seasons, the villagers have to travel up to one kilometre for collecting water. Only a small fraction of the villagers have tube-wells or water sources in their households. On contrary this situation is better in Patgram as most of the households (63%) have their own deep tube-well for drinking purpose. Regarding sanitation facilities, both areas are more or less similar conditions. Majority of the people have latrines beside their homes and most cases, the latrines are common and many users go to the same toilet. Almost all the roads in both areas are kacha (not concrete) and very narrow. Most of the houses (74%) in Bandartilla village are made of thatched roofs and are highly vulnerable to cyclonic winds, storm surges and other disasters such as floods and water logging. Similar scenario in Patgram, houses are either tin shade (58%) or thatched (41%) that are very vulnerable to natural events like norwester and flood. There is only one primary school in Patgram and literacy rates are higher amongst men than women and a handful of the people continue with further education and in Bandartilla villages, there are two non-formal primary schools but classes are not held regularly. Education and literacy rates are low in both the village, both for men and women. Most of the men and women complete only primary education and even here there are noticeable gender differences. A small fraction of the population barely manages to finish primary school and also the schools and teachers are not equipped. Means of communication channels mostly occupied by the mobile phone (71%) in Patgram as like Bandartilla (99%) other than television or radio. The major concern among the people of Bandartilla is the land ownership from the government as almost all the families are migrants from other areas and they are the victim of river erosion. People in the village do not have proper land ownership documents and are regularly harassed by land grabbers and goons with political clout. In Patgram the major concern is the safety issue as the inhabitants are mostly the victims of the river erosion from the other side of the river and most of them are single families, they are often attack by river dacoit. In both areas things are

very expensive due to the limited connectivity of the village to mainland and very limited bazaar for trading basic commodities. In addition, there are very limited medical facilities and no hospitals or clinics available and that effect a lot to the maternity health care of the pregnant women mostly and the fatality rate is very high during childbirth. Water borne and skin diseases are common because of lack of proper sanitation facilities, and awareness regarding health. People are superstition and black magic is practiced widely. Dowry and early marriage are main social problems. There is only one police station in Nijhum Dweep, which is understaffed and so they cannot really address the problems of this village whereas there are no police station in Patgram. Agriculture and other extension services have pretty good outreach amongst the people of Bandartilla, on the other hand, almost nil in Patgram. There are only one cyclone shelter for the entire Nijhumdweep people and not possible to accommodate the entire population of the village whereas in Patgram there are no shelters for the people. During the SIDR and AILA cyclones, the people of the Bandartilla village did not receive any prior warning. Although Bangladesh Red Crescent Society's climate change adaptation programme is there and the island falls under the Cyclone Preparedness programme (CPP), there are almost no other development partners that have programme in Patgram and in Bandartilla. There are also no weather and climate forecast centres or information services available in these areas. In table 1, the risks and vulnerabilities Vs peoples' coping capacity of the natural hazards are shown for the clear understanding of the study area.

5.2 Coping Mechanisms

5.2.1 Pre-disaster Coping

People of the both places have their own preparedness strategy for coping with the disaster. This study only looks through the immediate preparedness of disaster in broader aspect. More or less 17%-18% people in both the areas take some precaution for their houses; such as, raise their house plinth to avoid flood water, wealthier people build their houses with brick and CI (Corrugated Iron sheet) to save their houses from storm or cyclone and some people made a second ceiling under their main ceiling called Pataton. They keep valuable thing on there to save household belongings from the tidal surge. Similar structures found mostly in other flood affected areas in Bangladesh. In Bandartilla, people made Machan and Pataton to keep their things in the time of storm surge. (Machan and Pataton are the indigenous structures made of bamboo or wood. It is raised platform to keep valuable things). People (11-14%) store their food grains, such as seed, rice, puffed rice, flattened rice, potato, dry fish for disaster recoveries digging hole in their yard covered with polythene bags or sometimes they store in a clay pots and tied it to the tree top or in their roof top. Earlier studies show some similarities only for coastal areas (literature). In our study, char land people less likely to move their things rather than keeping things to their second roof top or tree top. As people living in the remote island face cyclones or storm surges frequently while the people of Patgram encounter seasonal flooding associated with river bank erosion, they are more conscious for their livestock and take appropriate measures for their safety, After the receiving early warning they take their animals to the shelter or to the other safer places in any neighbour's

house. Bandartilla people have indigenous knowledge of disaster than patgram people. During last disaster 10% more people got the flood early warning in Patgram than Bandartilla. Although early warning dissemination system improved a lot in recent years, most of people of Bandartilla did not receive the early warnings because of their poor communication system and the remoteness. Conventional Radio is not very popular in selected areas as a mode of information, acquiring so early warning through Radio proven not very effective for these areas whereas local warning dissemination through miking is more effective. Unfortunately, it also varies reaching to the women and children than men. There are three cyclone shelters in Nijhumdweep for the entire inhabitant and it is not enough for accommodating and providing facilities for all the vulnerable people in disaster events. Earlier research showed that the capacity of the cyclone shelters in coastal areas is insufficient for the number of vulnerable people [86]. For the last cyclone early warning more than 52% people of Bandartilla took the shelter and rest of the people cope with their own knowledge such as tie four sides their houses with strong trees so that it might not fall under any strong wind. Similar coping was observed in other areas of coastal region [81].

5.2.2 Post Disaster Coping

The most popular coping in both areas is borrowing money or food from the rich or money lender. This is very much similar to the previous findings (literature). Sometime they have to offer their precious things for lending and later, they lose to pay the interest. This percentage is higher in Patgram (31%) from Bandartilla (28%) as the social difference is higher because of some land bandits, who have the power to grab most of the land of char although they possess enough properties in mainland and become influential person of that area. There are numerous records of blooded conflict regarding this matter in Bangladesh. Because of remoteness and limited options for work approximately 20% of island people cope by reducing their number of meals whereas this percentage is half in Patgram due to available working opportunities and better connectivity than remote island with the mainland. This coping is very popular in any disaster event in the vulnerable countries of Africa continent where food shortage is a common problem. As for example in Kenya similar coping illustrated by researcher such as households in Lower Keiyo (22.5%) and Aldai (22.2%) go an entire day without a meal during times of acute food shortage, and more than 30% of households limit/reduce the portion of meals taken. A combined average of 33% reduces the number of meals eaten in a week and in other extreme cases [13].




Fig. 1(a). Bandartilla Coping Strategies




Fig. 1(b). Patgram Coping Strategies

Another effective coping is working for money or food. After disaster strike the Government and other development agencies offer some development works for repairing/restoring of rural infrastructure, key installations public services and shelter instead of food or money, this is known as Food for Work (FFW) or Cash for Work (CFW). This is higher in Bandartilla (25%) than Patgram (11%) as cyclone/storms is responsible for bigger mess than flood. In Bangladesh, the vulnerabilities and coping capacities are influenced by the social imbalance depending on their economic conditions. The major portion of these vulnerable poor people most of time especially in any disaster period depends on wealthy landed families for their survival. Many researchers estimated that more than half of our rural households are landless marginal farmers and mostly depend on selling their labours in cheap to

work on others land [87, 88]. No difference in our study area; selling labour in advance with cheaper price is found 22% in Patgram and 16% in Bandartilla. Another study in Okavango Delta of Botswana of Africa continent indicated that people intentionally trying to diversifying their livelihoods for quick returns to survive all the year round [89]. In Africa, almost half of the households were found engaged in two livelihood activities and after that they have to rely on the governmental assistance of help from relatives due to severe drought condition [90]. Similarly in our study areas people already engaged in two activities and also rely on other external assistance. Patgram produce rabi crops and most of the people has animal farm so working opportunity is more than Bandartilla. The percentage of people (22%) rely on charity or humanitarian aid is also higher in Patgram as the better communication and social differences, people can come easily with aid and charity and also this area is nearer to capital city so relief can come very quick after any disaster strikes. In Bnadrartilla only 9% of people rely on the charity or aid because of its remoteness. Migration rate is very insignificant and more or less similar in both areas as those people have already migrated from other places and they understand the miseries of migration well and do not want to repeat. Collection of food from nature is nil in Bandartilla as nature is very hostile here and saline water impedes various naturally available foods to grow. However, in Patgram char land is very fertile for natural vegetables to grow and people can easily collect them and prepare food from them. Around 4% of people rely on the naturally available vegetables here.

5.2.3 Coping through Expenditure Distribution

People of the Bandartilla and Patgram had been affected by various disasters in past and very prone to recurring natural events every so often. People are aware of their vulnerabilities and they had to compromise whether they wish to or not. These people already have migrated from other places and striving to fit in the new ecology with practicing more diversified livelihood options. They are stressed people loaded with numerous burdens on their head; people are spending more just to survive rather to meet their actual needs. The uniqueness of this study is that it has looked through people expense distribution to infer their actual vulnerabilities and exposures. Previous study in Hatiya upzilla of Noakhali district calculated that the average income of 2/3 respondent of their study population is 5000BDT per month and that is below poverty line [81]. In this study it has been divided into two segments to get the clear understanding. The income ranges for daily labour from BDT 200/day as there are very limited opportunities of work all the year round and for the farmers BDT 120,000/year from the sale of crops/agricultural production, in both areas. In Patgram only 61% people's source of income from daily wages and only 19% people depend on selling their crops while in Bandartilla 41% of the people depend on their daily wages and only 11% from fishing. Similar to the earlier findings more than 50% people live below the poverty line in the study areas. They spend major portion of their income for food and repairing houses and little for medical and education. They could not afford any savings for their family; moreover, they are burdened with loan and other added responsibilities they could not bear (Table 2). Addition to that researchers has pointed out that major portion of the rural populations are forced to acquire the opportunities in front of them as agricultural laborers in cheap only to survive

without any savings or assets that might help them against natural calamities. Usually after disasters strike, the poor usually survive by selling off land, livestock, housing materials, and personal belongings [91].

6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Bangladesh is the most densely populated country and people are bound to live in the vulnerable areas. The negative consequence of climate change especially the increased intensity and frequency of the natural hazards and extreme weather events has triggered these vulnerabilities with other associated factors, such as spatial characteristic, socio-economic imbalance and political unrest. In this research it has been revealed that the inhabitants have to comprise with their basic needs just to survive due to coping with the vulnerabilities and being exposure at risks. The inhabitants of the study areas are mostly disaster migrants. They are already striving to survive in new ecology with traditional and modern diversified options. Climate change impact has worsened their current situation whether they are prepared to cope or not. People's income is not stable along with the limited working opportunity. They have to spend maximum portion of their income for food and repairing houses that are often destroyed by recurring disasters. Savings are almost nil in among the people of both places. Medical and education expenses are just amplifying their burden. Loan is very common in people to survive in the disaster events and aftermath. In this situation coping option has becoming narrower day by day. The most suitable options for coping includes; eat fewer meals, borrowing money or take loan and sell labour in cheap at advance. Although they could not afford any savings for their family, they are imposed with loan and other added responsibilities they could not bear. All these copings are leading people to malnutrition and imbalance mental health and people are forced to migrate or to change their profession or girls in early marriage, domestic violence, conflicts and so on. By observing people's current situation they might be the victim of stress of cataclysmic events and in addition, current vulnerabilities and huge mental instability along with other pressure might lead them to post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). This situation will worsen gradually if not consider proper intervention that will justify people's need at grass root levels. Malnutrition, economic imbalance, domestic hostility along with mental instability would hinder people's ability to take proper decision in time of risk or affect current coping options. Effective household level coping depend upon the allocation of resources by a household to overcome the crisis without compromising of livelihood security in the long run. Therefore, economic and mental instability will lessen their ability to cope with recurring events or vice versa. Resilience comprises economically strong, socially interlinked, community competences along with cultural acceptances. If there is violation of any component would result the resilience in vain. Therefore, interventions should consider to surplus the current coping practices and more structured plan of action emphasized on both shorter and longer term programme in building community resilience to overcome the situation.

TABLE 1
NATURAL HAZARDS: RISKS AND VULNERABILITIES Vs PEOPLES' COPING CAPACITY

Disaster	Bandartilla (remote island)		Patgram (charland)	
	Risks and vulnerabilities	People's coping capacity	Risks and vulnerabilities	People's coping capacity
Floods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sand deposition in fertile lands ▪ Water inundates schools and mosques ▪ People living on riverbanks are at high risk ▪ Roads and houses are damaged 	Some roads are concrete and many homesteads are on raised plinth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Fertility loss of lands ▪ Crops are damaged ▪ Loss of lives and property 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Shelters ▪ Boats as mobile transportation ▪ People's positive attitude of adapting to changing situations
Cyclones/ Storm surges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Causes infrastructural damage ▪ Homesteads get damaged ▪ Loss of crops ▪ High death toll of wild animals/birds ▪ Trees are broken/die 	There is an existing cyclone shelter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Loss of crops, human lives ▪ Damages to homes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Shelters ▪ People's positive attitude of adapting to changing situations
Salinity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ This makes the land less fertile and harms the fish in ponds 			

TABLE 2
EXPENDITURE DISTRIBUTION

Expenditure items	Bandartilla (%)	Patgram (%)
Food	60	40
Medical Care	10	9
Clothes	6	10
Entertainment	3	-
Communication	-	5
Education	4	12
Household repair	11	9
Savings	0	0
Animal husbandry	-	8
Loan	6	7

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Srinivas, Y. Nakagawa, "Environmental implications for disaster preparedness: Lessons learnt from the Indian Ocean Tsunami," *Journal of Environmental Management*, vol. 89, pp. 4–13, 2008.
- [2] J. B. Smith, S. H. Schneider, Oppenheimer, M., et al., "Assessing dangerous climate change through an update of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) "reasons for concern"", *Proc. Natl. Acad.Sci.* vol. 106, pp. 4133–4137, U.S.A, 2009.
- [3] IFRC (International Federation of Red Cross Red Crescent Societies), *World Disasters Report: Focus on Ethics in Aid*, 2003. <http://www.ifrc.org/Global/Publications/disasters/WDR/WDR2003>.
- [4] IFRC (International Federation of Red Cross Red Crescent Societies), *World Disasters Report: Focus on Urban Risk*, 2010. <http://www.ifrc.org/Global/Publications/disasters/WDR/WDR2010>
- [5] S. Doocy, A. Daniels, A. Dick, TD. Kirsch, "The Human Impact of Tsunamis: a Historical Review of Events 1900-2009 and Systematic Literature Review", *PLOS Currents Disasters*, Ed.- 1, 2013.
- [6] EM-DAT the International Disaster Database, 2011. Available at: <http://www.emdat.be/disaster-profiles>.
- [7] World Bank, *World Development Report 2006: Equity and Development*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2006.
- [8] C. Haque, and M. Zaman, Vulnerability and responses to riverine hazards in Bangladesh: A critique of flood control and mitigation processes, In: A. Varley (ed.), *Disasters, Development and Environment*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 65–79, 1994.
- [9] H. Rasid, A. Mallik, "Flood adaptations in Bangladesh: is the compartmentalization scheme compatible with indigenous adjustments of rice cropping to flood regimes?", *Appl Geogr*, V. 15(1), pp. 3–17, 1995.
- [10] W. N. Adger, P. T. Hughes, C Folke, R. S Carpenter, and J. Rockström, "Social-Ecological Resilience to Coastal Disasters, American Association for the Advancement of Science", Vol. 309, No. 5737, pp. 1036-1039, 2005.
- [11] SR Khandker, "Coping with flood: role of institutions in Bangladesh", *Agric Econ* vol. 36(2), pp. 169–180, 2007.
- [12] S. K. Paul, J. K. Routray, "Household response to cyclone and induced surge in coastal Bangladesh: coping strategies and explanatory variables", *Nat Hazards* Vol. 57, pp. 477–499, 2011.
- [13] C. K. Songok, E. C. Kipkorir, E. M. Mugalavai, A. C. Kwonyike and C. Ng'weno, 2011. "Improving the Participation of Agro-Pastoralists in Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction Policy Formulation: A Case Study from Keiyo District, Kenya," *Experiences of Climate Change Adaptation in Africa*, W. Leal Filho (ed.), Climate Change Management.
- [14] M Boko, I et al. Niang, "Africa: Climate change 2007: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability", In: Parry ML, Canziani OF, Palutikof JP, vd Linden PJ, Hanson CE (eds) Contribution of working Group II to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 433–467, 2007.
- [15] K McKinnon, V Hickey et al, "Convenient solutions to an inconvenient truth: ecosystem-based approaches to climate change", International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank, 2009.
- [16] UN/ISDR (Inter-Agency Secretariat of the International Strategy for Disaster Reduction), *Living with Risk – A global review of disaster reduction initiatives*, 2004.
- [17] IPCC, 2007b, "Climate Change: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- [18] "EM-DAT: The OFDA/CRED International Disaster Database, University catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Bel." Data version: v11.08, 2008.
- [19] W. N. Adger, W. N. Arnell, Tompkins L. E., Successful adaptation to climate change across scale, *Global Environmental Change*, V. 15, pp. 77–86, 2005.
- [20] A Baede, van P der Linden, A. Verbruggen, "Annex II: glossary. In: Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Core Writing Team, Pauchari RK, Reisinger A, eds). Geneva: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change", 86, 2007.
- [21] F. Mannke, "Key Themes of Local Adaptation to Climate Change: Results from Mapping Community-Based Initiatives in Africa", *W. Leal Filho (ed.)*, Experiences of Climate Change Adaptation in Africa, Climate Change Management, 2011.
- [22] IPCC, "Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability", *Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, M.L. Parry, O.F. Canziani, 2007.
- [23] E. L. Tompkins, *Global Environmental change*, Vol. 15, pp. 139, 2005.
- [24] Van Aalst, M. K., Cannon, T., and Burton, I., "Community level adaptation to climate change: the potential role of participatory community risk assessment, *Global Environ, Change*, V.18, pp. 165–179, 2008.

- [25] M. Watts, "Silent violence, food, famine and peasantry in northern Nigeria", *University of California Press, Berkeley*, 1983.
- [26] P Richards, "Coping with hunger. Heinemann", London, 1986.
- [27] D. Rahmato, "Famine and survival strategies: a case study from Northeast Ethiopia", *Food and Famine Monograph Series No. 1. Institute of Development Research, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa*, 1987.
- [28] J. Corbet, "Famine and household coping strategies", *World Dev V 16(9)*, pp. 1099–1112, 1988.
- [29] DE Rocheleau, PE Steinberg, PA Benjamin, Environment, development, crisis, and crusades: Ukambani, Kenya, 1890–1990. *World Dev, V. 23(6)*, pp. 1037–1051, 1995.
- [30] A de Waal, "Famine that Kills: Darfur, Sudan", *2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford*, 2004.
- [31] TH Smucker, B Wisner, "Changing household responses to drought in Tharaka", *Kenya: vulnerability, persistence, and challenge, Disasters V. 32(2)*, pp. 190–215, 2008.
- [32] AM Adams, J Cekan, R Sauerborn, "Towards a conceptual framework of household coping: reflections from rural West Africa", *Africa 68, V. 2*, pp. 263–283, 1998.
- [33] N.Kapucu, "Disaster Resilience and Adaptive Capacity in Central Florida", US, and in Eastern Marmara Region, Turkey, 2012.
- [34] G. A. Tobin, "Sustainability and community resilience: The holy grail of hazard planning", *Environmental Hazards, V. 1*, pp. 13–25, 1999.
- [35] G. Bankoff, G.Frerks, and D. Hilhorst, "Mapping Vulnerability: Disasters, Development and People", (London: Earthscan), 2004.
- [36] N. Stern, "The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review", *Cabinet Office, HM Treasury*, 2006.
- [37] R. Brouwer, S. Akter, L.Brander, E.Haque, "Socioeconomic Vulnerability and Adaptation to Environmental Risk: A Case Study of Climate Change and Flooding in Bangladesh", *Risk Analysis, Vol. 27, No. 2*, 2007.
- [38] AV Rubonis, L. Bickman, "Psychological impairment in the wake of disaster: the disaster–psychopathology relationship", *Psychol Bull, V. 109*, pp. 384 – 99, 1991.
- [39] AL Wesley, PB Polatin, RJ.Gatchel, "Psychosocial, psychiatric, and socioeconomic factors in chronic occupational musculoskeletal disorders", *In: Mayer TM, Gatchel RJ, Polatin PB, editors. Occupational musculoskeletal disorders: function, outcomes and evidence. Philadelphia7 Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins*, pp. 577 – 608,2000.
- [40] A.Crabtree, "Climate change and mental health following flood disasters in developing countries", A review of the epidemiological literature: What do we know, what is being recommended?, *Australasian Journal of Disaster and Trauma Studies, V–1*, pp. 21-30, 2012.
- [41] J Hay, N Mimura, "Supporting climate change vulnerability and adaptation assessments in the Asia-Pacific region an example of sustainability science", *Sustain Sci V. 1*, pp. 23–35, 2006.
- [42] J Paavola, WN Adger, "Fair adaptation to climate change. *Ecol Economics*", V.56, pp. 594–609, 2006.
- [43] H.Schmuck, "Living with the floods: survival strategies of Char-Dwellers in Bangladesh", *FDCL,Berlin*, 1996.
- [44] H Rasid, "Reducing vulnerability to flood disasters in Bangladesh: compatibility of floodplain residents' preferences for flood alleviation measures with indigenous adjustments to floods", *In: Parker DJ (ed) Floods*, vol II. Routledge, London, pp. 46–65, 2000.
- [45] E Delap, "Urban children's work during and after the 1998 floods in Bangladesh", *Dev Pract V. 10(5)*, pp. 662–673, 2000.
- [46] C Del Ninno, PA Dorosh, "Public policy, markets and household coping strategies in Bangladesh: avoiding a food security crisis following the 1998 floods", *World Dev V. 31(7)*, pp.1221–1238, 2003.
- [47] H Rasid, W Haider, "Floodplain residents' preferences for water level management options in flood control projects in Bangladesh", *Nat Hazards V. 28(1)*, pp. 101–129, 2003.
- [48] R. Few, "Flooding, vulnerability and coping strategies: local responses to a global threat", *Progress in Development Studies, V. 3(1)*, pp 43– 58,2003.
- [49] N. Islam, "The Ganges water dispute: Environmental and related impacts on Bangladesh", *Bangladesh Institute Social Science Journal V. 12(3)*, pp. 263–292, 1991.
- [50] H Rasid, BK Paul,. Flood problems in Bangladesh: is there an indigenous solution? *Environ Manag V. 11(2)*, pp.155–173, 1987.
- [51] H Rasid, "Preventing flooding or regulating flood levels?: case studies on perception of flood alleviation in Bangladesh", *Nat Hazards V. 8(1)*, pp. 39–57, 1993.
- [52] BK Paul, "Coping mechanisms practiced by drought victims (1994/5) in North Bengal", *Bangladesh, Appl Geogr V. 18(4)*, pp. 355–373, 1998.
- [53] CE Haque, MQ Zaman, "Coping with riverbank erosion hazard and displacement in Bangladesh: survival

- strategies and adjustments”, *Disasters* Wilk J. & Kgathi D., 2007 V. 13(4), pp. 300–314,1989.
- [54] MZ Mamun, “Awareness, preparedness and adjustment measures of riverbank erosion prone people: a case study”, *Disasters* V. 20(1), pp. 68–74, 1996.
- [55] D Hutton, CE Haque, “Human vulnerability, dislocation and resettlement: adaptation process of riverbank erosion-induced displaces in Bangladesh”, *Disasters* V. 28(1), pp. 41–62, 2004.
- [56] H. Brammer, “Drought in Bangladesh: lessons for planners and administrators”, *Disasters* V. 11(1), pp. 21–29, 1987.
- [57] BK Paul, Farmers, “response to drought in Bangladesh: lessons for planners and administrators”, *Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Association of American Geographers*, San Diego, 18–22 Apr, 1992.
- [58] BK Paul, “Why relatively fewer people died? The case of Bangladesh’s cyclone Sidr”, *Nat Hazards*, V. 50(2), pp. 289–304, 2009a.
- [59] A. Ali, “Climate change impacts and adaptation assessment in Bangladesh”, *Climate Resilient* V. 12, pp. 109–116, 1999.
- [60] Choudhury NY, Paul A, Paul BK, 2004. Impact of costal embankment on the flash flood in Bangladesh: a case study. *Appl Geogr* V. 24(3), pp. 241–258.
- [61] MF Karim, N,Mimura, “Impacts of climate change and sea-level rise on cyclonic storm surge floods in Bangladesh. *Glob Environ Change* V. 18(3), pp. 490–500, 2008.
- [62] MA Islam, “Human adjustment to cyclone hazards: a case study of Char Jabbar” *Natural Hazards Research Working*, No. 18. University of Toronto, Toronto1971.
- [63] MA Islam, “Tropical cyclones: coastal Bangladesh. In: White GF (ed) *Natural hazards—local, national and global*”, Oxford University Press, New York, pp 19–24, 1974.
- [64] M Islam, 1992. Natural calamities and environmental refugees in Bangladesh. *Refuge* V. 12(1), pp 5–10.
- [65] TS Murty, VR Neralla, “On the recurvature of tropical cyclones and the storm surge problem in Bangladesh” *Nat Hazards* V. 6(3), pp. 275–279, 1992.
- [66] TS Murty, M El-Sabh, Mitigating the effects of storm surges generated by tropical cyclones—a proposal. *Nat Hazards* V. 6(3), pp. 251–273, 1992.
- [67] GM Khalil, “Cyclones and storm surges in Bangladesh: some mitigative measures”, *Nat Hazards* V. 6(1), pp. 11–24, 1992.
- [68] GM Khalil, “The catastrophic cyclone of April 1991: its impact on the economy of Bangladesh”, *Nat Hazards* V. 8(3), pp. 263–281,1993.
- [69] I Matsuda, Loss of human lives induced by the cyclone of 29–30 April, 1991 in Bangladesh. *GeoJournal* V. 31(4), pp. 319–325, 1993.
- [70] BK Paul “Human injuries caused by Bangladesh’s cyclone Sidr: an empirical study”, *Nat Hazards*, 1–13, 2009b.
- [71] G. A.Parvin, F.Takahashi, Shaw R., Coastal hazards and community-coping methods in Bangladesh, *J Coast Conserv*, Vol. 12, pp. 181-193, 2008.
- [72] DA Mooley, “Severe cyclonic storms in the Bay of Bengal”, *Mon Weather Rev* V. 108, pp. 1647–1655, 1980.
- [73] C. E. Hauque, “Coping with Riverbank Erosion Hazard and Displacement in Bangladesh: Survival Strategies and Adjustments”, paper presented at the International Conference: Bangladesh Floods: What are the Solutions, *Sponsored by the International Society of Bangladesh*, Montrel, pp. 11-12, 1989.
- [74] P Blaikie, T Cannon, I Davis, B Wisner, “At risk: natural hazards, people’s vulnerability and disasters”, *Routledge*, London, 1994..
- [75] SK Dube, AD Rao, PC Sinha, TS Murthy, N.Bahulayan, “Storm surge in the Bay of Bengal and Arabian Sea: the problem and its prediction”, *Mausam* V. 48, pp. 283–304, 1997.
- [76] GOB, “Cyclone Sidr in Bangladesh: damage, loss, and needs assessment for disaster recovery and reconstruction”, *A report prepared by the Government of Bangladesh Assisted by the International Development Community with Financial Support from the European Commission*, 2008.
- [77] JA As-Salek, “Coastal trapping and funneling effect on storm surges in the Meghna estuary in relation with the cyclones hitting Noakhali-Cox’s Bazar coast of Bangladesh”, *J Phys Oceanogr* V. 28 (2), pp. 227–249, 1998..
- [78] H Madsen, F Jakobsen, “Cyclone induced storm surge and flood forecasting in the northern Bay of Bengal”, *Coast Eng* V. 51(4), pp. 277–296, 2004.
- [79] CEGIS, “Analytical Framework for the Planning of Integrated Water Resources Management”, Dhaka, Bangladesh: Center for Environmental and Geographic Information Systems, 2002..
- [80] Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Pocketbook of Bangladesh*, Dhaka: Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, 2005.

- [81] G.A. Parvin, , F. Takahashi, R. Shaw, "Coastal hazards and community coping method in Bangladesh", *Journal of Coastal Conservation*, 12(4): 181-193, 2008.
- [82] Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF), *National Adaptation Programme of Action (NAPA)*. Retrieved from <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/napa/ban01.pdf>, 2005.
- [83] Banglapedia,. Hatiya Upzila. Available at http://banglapedia.org/HT/H_0089.HTM, 2006 (d).
- [84] M., Mortimore, "Roots in the African Dust", *Cambridge University Press*, 1998.
- [85] M. Mortimore, and W. M. Adams, "Farmer adaptation, change and 'crisis' in the Sahel", *Global Environmental Change*, 11: 49-57, 2001.
- [86] RM Islam, "ICZM initiatives and practices in Bangladesh," *In: Krishnamurty RR (ed) Integrated coastal zone management*, Research publishing services, Singapore, pp.81-82, 2008b.
- [87] F. Januzzi, and J. Peach, "The Agrarian Structure of Bangladesh: An Impediment to Development", *Westview Press*, Boulder, 1980.
- [88] J. Rogge, and C. Haque , "Riverbank Erosion Hazard, Rural Population Displacement, and Institutional Responses and Policies in Bangladesh", *Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Association of American Geographers*, Portland, Oregon, April, 1987.
- [89] H. Bendsen, & T. Meyer, "The dynamic of the land use systems in Ngamiland, Botswana: Changing livelihood options and strategies", *Paper presented at Environmental Monitoring of Tropical Wetlands*, Maun, Botswana, 2003.
- [90] J. Wilk & D. Kgathi, "Risk in the Okavango Delta in the face of social and environmental change", *GeoJournal*, V. 70, pp.121–132, 2007.
- [91] CE Haque, "Atmospheric hazards preparedness in Bangladesh: a study of warning, adjustments a recovery from the April 1991 cyclone", *Nat Hazards*, 16:181–202, 1997.