# Embedded Kernel Back-Porting LinuxCon Japan 2012

### Simon Horman <simon@horms.net> Horms Solutions Ltd.

7th June 2012

- Motivation
- Strategy
- Mechanism

For some mystical reason a decision has been made to base a project on an old kernel...

For some mystical reason a decision has been made to base a project on an old kernel...

...which lacks support for the hardware to be used

For some mystical reason a decision has been made to base a project on an old kernel...

...which lacks support for the hardware to be used ...or required features Add in-house implementation directly to the old kernel

Backport implementation from mainline kernel

- Add in-house implementation directly to the old kernel
  - Perhaps the most obvious option
- Backport implementation from mainline kernel
  - Mainline implementation may neet to be made first

- Add in-house implementation directly to the old kernel
  - Perhaps the most obvious option
  - Optimial for lack of code reuse
- Backport implementation from mainline kernel
  - Mainline implementation may neet to be made first
  - More opportunity for code reuse
  - More opportunity to leverage existing, open solution

- Backport small isolated components
- Full backport of targeted components;
- Selected backport of dependencies from other subsystems

Individual drivers or subsystems

- Individual drivers or subsystems
- For a new board
  - Clock
  - SoC
  - Board
  - Serial driver
  - Then, SMP and other drivers

• Can we assume that most changes made mainline are either bug fixes or enhancements *and* that testing will show up any regressions?

- Can we assume that most changes made mainline are either bug fixes or enhancements *and* that testing will show up any regressions?
- If so, it would appear to make sense to make a full backport of a component from mainline.

- Can we assume that most changes made mainline are either bug fixes or enhancements *and* that testing will show up any regressions?
- If so, it would appear to make sense to make a full backport of a component from mainline.
  - Backport all patches
  - Reduces the scope for conflicts

Constrains the overall scope of the backport

- Obtain List of Target-Files
- Mine Patches from Git
- Apply Patches
- Conflict Resolution Strategies
- If at First a Backport Fails

- Obtain a list of files that are directly rated to the component to be backported
  - Be aware that files are added, removed and renamed over time
  - Find all file names used between the source and target kernel versions
  - Ask people who know the code for guidance
  - Kconfig and Makefiles are often too hot to be useful

Use git to obtain a short-list of patches git log --oneline v3.0..v3.4 -- fileA fileB ...

## ■ git cherry-pick -xs + git rebase -i, quilt, ...

- git cherry-pick -xs + git rebase -i, quilt, ...
- It is useful to:
  - Note the commit id of the patch in mainline
  - Note any conflicts
  - Sign off the patch, presumably you will distribute it to

commit 37e7a4e1eddd663a2c5fddaabf80598f204fea62
Author: Paul Gortmaker <paul.gortmaker@windriver.com>
Date: Sun Jul 31 16:17:29 2011 -0400

arm: Add export.h to ARM specific files as required.

These files all make use of one of the EXPORT\_SYMBOL variants or the THIS\_MODULE macro. So they will need <linux/export.h>

Signed-off-by: Paul Gortmaker <paul.gortmaker@windriver.com>
(cherry picked from commit dc28094b905a872f8884f1f1c48ca86b3b78583a)

Conflicts:

arch/arm/common/it8152.c

Signed-off-by: Simon Horman <horms@verge.net.au>

### Drop the patch

Is the patch in scope or does it just happen to touch a target-file?

## Drop the patch

Is the patch in scope or does it just happen to touch a target-file?

### Trim the patch

Is this a tree-wide patch with only a small portion in scope?

## Drop the patch

Is the patch in scope or does it just happen to touch a target-file?

### Trim the patch

Is this a tree-wide patch with only a small portion in scope?

- Manually resolve conflict
  - Is this hot file? Kconfig, Makefile, ...

- Drop the patch
  - Is the patch in scope or does it just happen to touch a target-file?
- Trim the patch
  - Is this a tree-wide patch with only a small portion in scope?
- Manually resolve conflict
  - Is this hot file? Kconfig, Makefile, ...
- Add dependency
  - Is some new infrastructure or helper-function required?

## If at First a Backport Fails: Small Steps

#### Incremental Backports

- Are there a large number of patches?
- Backport to an intermediate kernel version.
  - Backport from 3.4 to 3.0, then 2.6.35;
  - Backport from 3.4 to 3.3, then 3.3, to 3.2;...
- Can be time consuming

## If at First a Backport Fails: Small Steps

#### Incremental Backports

- Are there a large number of patches?
- Backport to an intermediate kernel version.
  - Backport from 3.4 to 3.0, then 2.6.35;
  - Backport from 3.4 to 3.3, then 3.3, to 3.2;...
- Can be time consuming
- Bisection
  - Useful in conjunction with incremental backports
  - Can be very time consuming

## If at First a Backport Fails: Change Scope

### Increase Scope

Does a dependency need to be satisfied by adding backport of another component?

### Increase Scope

- Does a dependency need to be satisfied by adding backport of another component?
- Decrease Scope
  - Are there too many unnecessary changes.
  - Could dependencies be provided by a selective backport instead of a full backport?

As for guidance from someone familiar with the mainline code

Backport of CMT timer driver from 3.4 to 3.0

Simple real-world example

- include/linux/sh\_timer.h
- include/linux/sh\_cmt.h
- drivers/clocksource/sh\_cmt.c

\$ git log --oneline --no-merges v3.0..v3.4 -- \
 include/linux/sh\_timer.h include/linux/sh\_cmt.h \
 drivers/clocksource/sh\_cmt.c
615a445 PM / shmobile: Make CMT driver use pm\_genpd\_dev\_always\_on()
7deeab5 drivers/clocksource: Add module.h to those who were using it
 implicitly
3f7e5e2 clocksource: sh\_cmt: wait for CMCNT on init V2

```
$ git checkout v3.0 -b 3.0/cmt
Switched to a new branch '3.0/cmt'
$ git cp -xs 615a445 7deeab5 3f7e5e2
[3.0/cmt.tmp ab672c8] clocksource: sh_cmt: wait for CMCNT on init V2
Author: Magnus Damm <damm@opensource.se>
 1 file changed, 32 insertions(+), 2 deletions(-)
[3.0/cmt.tmp 32fae08] drivers/clocksource: Add module.h to those who
were using it implicitly
Author: Paul Gortmaker <paul.gortmaker@windriver.com>
3 files changed, 3 insertions(+)
[3.0/cmt.tmp 68f65b2] PM / shmobile: Make CMT driver use
pm_genpd_dev_always_on()
Author: Rafael J. Wysocki <rjw@sisk.pl>
 1 file changed, 4 insertions(+)
```

Now to test and submit to LTSI for others to enjoy

# Questions?