



NATIONAL CENTER for LEADERSHIP in VISUAL IMPAIRMENT

## MEETING EVALUATION

Meeting of the NCLVI University Consortium  
Washington DC, December 11-13, 2004

### I. Meeting Outcomes:

- 5 = Strongly Agree
- 4 = Agree
- 3 = Not Sure
- 2 = Disagree
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

Using the scale above please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements:

As a result of the NCLVI University Consortium meeting, the Consortium

- \_\_\_ Developed a decision-making protocol.
- \_\_\_ Determined how tuition and stipends will be awarded to NCLVI Fellows.
- \_\_\_ Developed a variety of recruitment strategies to attract doctoral candidates to the Consortium Member universities.
- \_\_\_ Developed the protocol for NCLVI Fellowships to be awarded to Fellows, including criteria for awards.
- \_\_\_ Developed a plan for the NCLVI Enrichment Program, including goals and objectives, topics, working committees, time devoted to enrichment during each year, individuals who will be responsible for the enrichment topics, and a timeline for work to be completed.
- \_\_\_ Suggested additional questions that should be included in the core data collection each year from Consortium Members.
- \_\_\_ Agreed on a variety of strategies for communicating.

## **II. Meeting Strengths and Needs:**

1. Please identify what you see as the strengths of the meeting:

2. Please identify areas for improvement in future meetings:

## **III. Meeting and Evaluation Checkout:**

In the spirit of our meeting “checking in and out” process please share any thoughts as a final “Check Out” for the meeting and its evaluation: