

## Assessment Stats: Evaluation for NCLVI University Consortium in Kentucky October 2005

Name: Evaluation for NCLVI University Consortium in Kentucky October 2005

Number of Attempts: 13

Instructions: Scale is

5 = Strongly Agree

4 = Agree

3 = Not Sure

2 = Disagree

1 = Strongly Disagree

Using the scale above please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements:

As a result of the 2005 NCLVI University Consortium meeting held in Louisville, Kentucky, how satisfied were you:

### Question 1 Multiple Choice

I was satisfied with the results of the application form modifications.

Answers	Percent Answered
5 = Strongly Agree	61.538464%
4 = Agree	30.769232%
3 = Not Sure	7.692308%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

### Question 2 Multiple Choice

I was satisfied with the quality of the entire event.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	61.538464%
4 = Agree	38.46154%
3 = Not Sure	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 3 Multiple Choice**

The scope of topics covered was appropriate.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	46.153847%
4 = Agree	53.846157%
3 = Not Sure	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 4 Multiple Choice**

The content covered was useful.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	46.153847%
4 = Agree	53.846157%
3 = Not Sure	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 5 Multiple Choice**

The update, including Continuation, 1+4, Contracts was helpful.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	38.46154%
4 = Agree	61.538464%
3 = Not Sure	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 6 Multiple Choice**

The decision-making processes used were effective.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	53.846157%
4 = Agree	38.46154%
3 = Not Sure	0.0%
2 = Disagree	7.692308%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 7 Multiple Choice**

The update on the evaluation components of the project prepared me for working on the evaluation plan.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	23.076923%
4 = Agree	53.846157%
3 = Not Sure	23.076923%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 8 Multiple Choice**

The evaluation workgroup was productive.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	15.384616%
4 = Agree	76.92308%
3 = Not Sure	7.692308%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 9 Multiple Choice**

The update on the enrichment program was helpful.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	46.153847%
4 = Agree	53.846157%
3 = Not Sure	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 10 Multiple Choice**

The enrichment workgroups were productive.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	61.538464%
4 = Agree	30.769232%
3 = Not Sure	7.692308%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 11 Multiple Choice**

The other workgroups, e.g., portfolio, discussion board guidelines, etc., were productive.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	46.153847%
4 = Agree	46.153847%
3 = Not Sure	7.692308%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 12 Multiple Choice**

There were sufficient opportunities to network with the NCLVI Fellows.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	30.769232%
4 = Agree	53.846157%
3 = Not Sure	15.384616%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 13 Multiple Choice**

The NCLVI events and agenda were well organized.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	69.230774%
4 = Agree	30.769232%
3 = Not Sure	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 14 Multiple Choice**

The hotel was satisfactory.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	84.61539%
4 = Agree	15.384616%
3 = Not Sure	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 15 Multiple Choice**

The food was satisfactory.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	84.61539%
4 = Agree	15.384616%
3 = Not Sure	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 16 Multiple Choice**

The materials provided were helpful.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
5 = Strongly Agree	76.92308%
4 = Agree	23.076923%
3 = Not Sure	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0.0%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	0.0%

**Question 17 Essay**

Please comment on any additional aspects of the NCLVI KY University Consortium meeting, as well as any other aspect of the NCLVI Project that may help us in future planning and implementation of project objectives. Please be as specific as you like, as we will use the evaluative comments to plan and implement future NCLVI events. Thank you very much.

## Given Answers

### 2 Unanswered Response(s)

I thought the PCO gals did a great job in preparing for the meeting and keeping everything going forward... organizing this group is like herding cats and they are doing just a great job! One can tell that they are putting in hours and hours of work on this project. This is perhaps one of the most important efforts in our field. It's possible beneficial effects will be felt for literally decades to come and they are doing a great job. Also, if it were not for Glinda Hill, we would not have this project. Her contribution to the field rivals that of Jo Taylor!

A well-planned event.

There was the issue about non NCLVI students accessing blackboard...this was never resolved because of the other issue that was not resolved - changing something that we agreed upon when the person(s) who were involved in the decision were not there. I also felt that there was the status of the "in crowd" - if you are one of the people then you can stonewall all you want and are more likely to have difficulty seeing the other perspective because you/your student(s) have the access that you desire. I don't play politics - never have and never will - but the situation made me feel that the tentative bond that had been formed between competing programs would be shredded and that the backstabbing that goes on would resurface at that very meeting. Kathy should be commended for keeping the group in line but she shouldn't have to.

The process used works very well, though there are times when I think that too much time is spent on issues that are important only for the moment. It might be a good idea to limit discussion on an issue to a specific period of time and if agreement isn't reached at that time, put the issue aside for a while and move on with the agenda.

As a whole, the meetings were very well planned and organized, with a balance of time for discussion and decision-making. The only difficulty is a common problem of this group: we spent too much time at the beginning of the consortium meeting discussing small details, then we were rushed to make decisions at the end and had to bypass some important considerations. We were left with too many pieces on the table at the end of the meeting, and part of this is due to our tendency to get sidetracked on minor issues. Unfortunately, the consensus process sometimes perpetuates this because we must convince everyone before we move on. However, I don't think we should change it. I am not sure there's a solution except to be more rigid about adhering to the agenda and tabling the issue in a timely manner when we can't reach consensus.

It was exciting to meet the scholars and to see all the hard work come alive. The Sunday seminar was also well done...lively and interactive, as well as instructive. Comfortable surroundings...perhaps a little too much food?

Thanks for all your hard work.

I would have liked a session in which each faculty member worked with NCLVI fellows. This may have happened after I left on Sunday. I found that I was just saying "hello" to the fellows but didn't have time to get to know who they were. On Friday night two of the universities went to dinner with their doctoral students and one student from a university in which the faculty member left early. This was a great experience for all. These small groups could be included in future meetings.

I would also like to know how much time is devoted to NCLVI each week for the fellows. I've been getting some questions about this time commitment from prospective students. I know that at the end of the first month it is difficult to make such a judgment. I wonder if NCLVI fellows could share this type of information at the end of the first semester.

**Question 18 Multiple Choice**

Please identify the appropriate response that best describes the days in which you were in attendance at the KY NCLVI University Consortium Meeting. Thank you.

<b>Answers</b>	<b>Percent Answered</b>
1. October 11, 12 and 13	69.230774%
2. October 11, 2005	7.692308%
3. October 12, 2005	0.0%
4. October 13, 2005	0.0%
5. October 11 and 12, 2005	0.0%
6. October 12 and 13, 2005	15.384616%
7. October 11 and 13, 2005	0.0%
<i>Unanswered</i>	7.692308%